

Case Number:	CM15-0067541		
Date Assigned:	04/15/2015	Date of Injury:	05/29/1990
Decision Date:	05/14/2015	UR Denial Date:	03/26/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	04/09/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Iowa, Illinois, Hawaii

Certification(s)/Specialty: Preventive Medicine, Occupational Medicine, Public Health & General Preventive Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 61-year-old female who sustained an industrial injury on 05/29/90. Initial diagnoses and complaints are not available. Treatments to date include medications, back surgery, epidurals, and a morphine intrathecal pump. Diagnostic studies include 2 MRIs. Current complaints include chronic low back pain, and left wrist fracture. Current diagnoses include sciatica, and left wrist fracture. In a progress note dated 02/02/15, the treating provider reports the plan of care as medications including Dilaudid and Norco. The requested treatment is Dilaudid.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

DILAUDID TAB 2MF #180 WITH 2 REFILL: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines OPIOIDS.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids Page(s): 51, 74-96. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Pain, Opioids.

Decision rationale: Per MTUS, Dilaudid is the brand name version of Hydromorphone, which is a pure agonist/short acting opioid and "they are often used for intermittent or breakthrough pain." ODG does not recommend the use of opioids for low back pain "except for short use for severe cases, not to exceed 2 weeks." The patient has exceeded the 2 week recommended treatment length for opioid usage. MTUS does not discourage use of opioids past 2 weeks, but does state that "ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects. Pain assessment should include current pain; the least reported pain over the period since last assessment; average pain; intensity of pain after taking the opioid; how long it takes for pain relief; and how long pain relief lasts. Satisfactory response to treatment may be indicated by the patient's decreased pain, increased level of function, or improved quality of life." The treating physician does not document any of the following: the least reported pain over the period since last assessment, intensity of pain after taking opioid, pain relief. MTUS further recommends opioid dosing not to exceed 120mg oral morphine equivalent per day cumulatively for all different opioids used. The morphine equivalent per day based on the progress notes appears to be 168, which is in excess of MTUS recommended guidelines. As such, the question for DILAUDID TAB 2MF #180 WITH 2 REFILL is not medically necessary.