

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM15-0067430		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	04/15/2015	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	03/04/2014
<b>Decision Date:</b>	05/19/2015	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	03/19/2015
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	04/09/2015

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 44 year old male, who sustained an industrial injury on 03/04/2014. He reported sustaining an injury to the right shoulder secondary to the repetitive work activity of lifting. The injured worker was diagnosed as having right rotator cuff impingement and acromioclavicular joint arthrosis. Treatment to date has included physical therapy, status post arthroscopic acromioplasty and arthroscopic Mumford, home exercise program, medication regimen, magnetic resonance imaging of the right shoulder, and use of ice. In a progress note dated 02/11/2015 the treating physician reports complaints of moderate right shoulder pain with limited range of motion and weakness. The documentation provided did not contain a request for a Functional Capacity Evaluation.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**Functional Capacity Evaluations:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Page(s): Chapter 7, Independent Medical Examinations and Consultations, page(s) 137-138.

**Decision rationale:** The patient has received a significant amount of conservative treatments without sustained long-term benefit. The patient underwent recent open shoulder surgery and continues to treat for ongoing significant symptoms with further plan for diagnostic along epidural injection interventions, remaining temporarily totally disabled without return to any form of modified work. It appears the patient has not reached maximal medical improvement and continues to treat for chronic pain symptoms. Current review of the submitted medical reports has not adequately demonstrated the indication to support for the request for Functional Capacity Evaluation as the patient continues to actively treat and is disabled. Per the ACOEM Treatment Guidelines on the Chapter for Independent Medical Examinations and Consultations regarding Functional Capacity Evaluation, there is little scientific evidence confirming FCEs ability to predict an individual's actual work capacity as behaviors and performances are influenced by multiple nonmedical factors which would not determine the true indicators of the individual's capability or restrictions. The Functional Capacity Evaluation is not medically necessary and appropriate.