

Case Number:	CM15-0066392		
Date Assigned:	04/14/2015	Date of Injury:	12/22/2011
Decision Date:	05/13/2015	UR Denial Date:	03/31/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	04/07/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Iowa, Illinois, Hawaii

Certification(s)/Specialty: Preventive Medicine, Occupational Medicine, Public Health & General Preventive Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 50-year-old female, who sustained an industrial injury on 12/22/2011. The initial complaints or symptoms included pain/injury to the neck. The initial diagnoses were not mentioned in the clinical notes. Treatment to date has included conservative care, medications, injections, x-rays, MRIs, and conservative therapies. Currently, the injured worker complains of constant aching pain in the cervical spine. The diagnoses include cervicgia. The treatment plan consisted of trigger point injection to the cervical spine paravertebral muscles bilaterally times 2 on 02/19/2015.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Trigger point injection for the cervical spine paravertebral muscles right & left x2, provided on February 19, 2015: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Page(s): 122.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Trigger point injections Page(s): 122.

Decision rationale: MTUS states that Trigger Point Injections are "Recommended only for myofascial pain syndrome as indicated below, with limited lasting value. Not recommended for radicular pain." And further states that "trigger point is a discrete focal tenderness located in a palpable taut band of skeletal muscle, which produces a local twitch in response to stimulus to the band. For fibromyalgia syndrome, trigger points injections have not been proven effective." MTUS lists the criteria for Trigger Points: (1) Documentation of circumscribed trigger points with evidence upon palpation of a twitch response as well as referred pain; (2) Symptoms have persisted for more than three months; (3) Medical management therapies such as ongoing stretching exercises, physical therapy, NSAIDs and muscle relaxants have failed to control pain; (4) Radiculopathy is not present (by exam, imaging, or neuro-testing); (5) Not more than 3-4 injections per session; (6) No repeat injections unless a greater than 50% pain relief is obtained for six weeks after an injection and there is documented evidence of functional improvement; (7) Frequency should not be at an interval less than two months; (8) Trigger point injections with any substance (e.g., saline or glucose) other than local anesthetic with or without steroid are not recommended. The medical documentation provided do not indicate twitch response with palpation and the guidelines above have not been met at this time. As such, the request for Trigger point injection for the cervical spine paravertebral muscles right & left x2, provided on February 19, 2015 is not medically necessary.