

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM15-0066376		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	04/14/2015	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	04/19/2014
<b>Decision Date:</b>	05/13/2015	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	03/19/2015
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	04/07/2015

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Iowa, Illinois, Hawaii

Certification(s)/Specialty: Preventive Medicine, Occupational Medicine, Public Health & General Preventive Medicine

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 51-year-old female, who sustained an industrial injury on 4/19/2014. She reported a slip and fall sustaining injury to the left knee and right ankle. Diagnoses include lumbar strain, internal derangement of knee, and internal derangement of ankle. She has a history of two unrelated arthroscopic knee surgeries prior to the date of injury. Treatments to date include activity modification, medication therapy and physical therapy. Currently, she complained of increased left wrist pain. On 1/22/15, the physical examination documented tenderness to palpation of the left wrist with positive Finkelstein's test. The plan of care included obtaining an MRI of the left wrist.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**MRI Left Wrist:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 11 Forearm, Wrist, and Hand Complaints Page(s): 268-272. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Forearm, wrist and Hand, Magnetic Resonance Imaging.

**Decision rationale:** ACOEM states, for most patients presenting with true hand and wrist problems, special studies are not needed until after a four- to six-week period of conservative care and observation. Most patients improve quickly, provided red flag conditions are ruled out. Exceptions include the following: In cases of wrist injury, with snuffbox (radial-dorsal wrist) tenderness, but minimal other findings, a scaphoid fracture may be present. Initial radiographic films may be obtained but may be negative in the presence of scaphoid fracture. A bone scan may diagnose a suspected scaphoid fracture with a very high degree of sensitivity, even if obtained within 48 to 72 hours following the injury. ODG states for a wrist MRI. Indications for imaging Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI): Acute hand or wrist trauma, suspect acute distal radius fracture, radiographs normal, next procedure if immediate confirmation or exclusion of fracture is required. Acute hand or wrist trauma, suspect acute scaphoid fracture, radiographs normal, next procedure if immediate confirmation or exclusion of fracture is required. Acute hand or wrist trauma, suspect gamekeeper injury (thumb MCP ulnar collateral ligament injury). Chronic wrist pain, plain films normal, suspect soft tissue tumor. Chronic wrist pain, plain film normal or equivocal, suspect Kienbock's disease. Repeat MRI is not routinely recommended, and should be reserved for a significant change in symptoms and/or findings suggestive of significant pathology. The treating physician has provided no evidence of red flag diagnosis and has not met the above ODG and ACOEM criteria for an MRI of the wrist. As such, the request for MRI Left Wrist is not medically necessary.