

Case Number:	CM15-0066016		
Date Assigned:	04/13/2015	Date of Injury:	12/21/2009
Decision Date:	05/15/2015	UR Denial Date:	03/19/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	04/06/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
State(s) of Licensure: Texas, California
Certification(s)/Specialty: Family Practice

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This is a 60 year old male patient who sustained an industrial injury on December 21, 2009. The diagnoses include congenital fusion of spine, post laminectomy syndrome of lumbar region, and thoracic or lumbosacral neuritis or radiculitis not otherwise specified. According to the progress note dated 3/11/2015, he had complaints of significant back pain. Objective findings revealed tenderness, spasm and guarding of the lumbar spine with decreased range of motion and decreased sensation in the S1 bilateral dermatomes. The medications list includes ambien, docuprene, omeprazole, lyrica, Oxycontin 15 mg and Oxycodone/APAP 5/325 mg. He has undergone spinal cord stimulator trial on 1/7/2015. He has had lumbar MRI on 8/31/2009. He has hundergone lumbar surgery. He has had physical therapy and injections for this injury.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Oxycontin 15 mg, sixty count with no refills: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines
CRITERIA FOR USE OF OPIOIDS Page(s): 76-80.

Decision rationale: Request: Oxycontin 15 mg, sixty count with no refills. Oxycontin contains Oxycodone which is an opioid analgesic. According to the cited guidelines, "A therapeutic trial of opioids should not be employed until the patient has failed a trial of non-opioid analgesics. Before initiating therapy, the patient should set goals, and the continued use of opioids should be contingent on meeting these goals." The records provided do not specify that that patient has set goals regarding the use of opioid analgesic. The treatment failure with non-opioid analgesics is not specified in the records provided. Other criteria for ongoing management of opioids are: "The lowest possible dose should be prescribed to improve pain and function. Continuing review of overall situation with regard to non-opioid means of pain control. Ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects...Consider the use of a urine drug screen to assess for the use or the presence of illegal drugs." The records provided do not provide a documentation of response in regard to pain control and objective functional improvement to opioid analgesic for this patient. The continued review of the overall situation with regard to non-opioid means of pain control is not documented in the records provided. As recommended by the cited guidelines a documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects should be maintained for ongoing management of opioid analgesic, these are not specified in the records provided. Response to a lower potency opioid for chronic pain is not specified in the records provided. A recent urine drug screen report is not specified in the records provided. This patient does not meet criteria for ongoing continued use of opioids analgesic. The medical necessity of Oxycontin 15 mg, sixty count with no refills is not established for this patient.

Oxycodone/APAP 5/325 mg, thirty count with no refills: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines
CRITERIA FOR USE OF OPIOIDS Page(s): 76-80.

Decision rationale: Request: Oxycodone/APAP 5/325 mg, thirty count with no refills. Oxycodone is an opioid analgesic. According to the cited guidelines, "A therapeutic trial of opioids should not be employed until the patient has failed a trial of non-opioid analgesics. Before initiating therapy, the patient should set goals, and the continued use of opioids should be contingent on meeting these goals. "The records provided do not specify that that patient has set goals regarding the use of opioid analgesic. The treatment failure with non-opioid analgesics is not specified in the records provided. Other criteria for ongoing management of opioids are: "The lowest possible dose should be prescribed to improve pain and function. Continuing review of overall situation with regard to non-opioid means of pain control. Ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects...Consider the use of a urine drug screen to assess for the use or the presence of illegal drugs." The records provided do not provide a documentation of response in regards to pain control and objective functional improvement to opioid analgesic for this patient. The continued

review of the overall situation with regard to non-opioid means of pain control is not documented in the records provided. As recommended by the cited guidelines a documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects should be maintained for ongoing management of opioid analgesic, these are not specified in the records provided. Response to a lower potency opioid for chronic pain is not specified in the records provided. A recent urine drug screen report is not specified in the records provided. This patient does not meet criteria for ongoing continued use of opioids analgesic. The medical necessity of Oxycodone/APAP 5/325 mg, thirty counts with no refills is not established for this patient.