

Case Number:	CM15-0064671		
Date Assigned:	04/10/2015	Date of Injury:	05/19/2004
Decision Date:	05/15/2015	UR Denial Date:	03/18/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	04/06/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: California, District of Columbia, Maryland
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Anesthesiology, Pain Management

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 51-year-old female who sustained an industrial injury on 5/19/2004. Her diagnoses, and/or impressions, include: multi-level cervical degenerative disc disease; cervical radiculitis; cervical internal derangement; multi-level thoracic degenerative disc disease; lumbosacral degenerative spondylosis, and radiculitis; post-traumatic stress disorder; pain disorder; and recurrent major depressive disorder with chronic reactive clinical depression. A recent magnetic resonance imaging study of the cervical spine was said to have been done on 2/24/2014. Her treatments have included urine toxicology testing, a home exercise program, psychological treatments, and medication management. The progress notes of 3/6/2015, noted complaints of severe and worsening neck pain with radiculopathy. The physician's requests for treatments included bilateral cervical epidural steroid injections.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Cervical Epidural Steroid Injection Bi Lateral C5-6, C6-7: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Epidural Steroid Injections Page(s): 46.

Decision rationale: Per the MTUS CPMTG epidural steroid injections are used to reduce pain and inflammation, restoring range of motion and thereby facilitating progress in more active treatment programs and avoiding surgery, but this treatment alone offers no significant long-term benefit. The criteria for the use of epidural steroid injections are as follows: 1) Radiculopathy must be documented by physical examination and corroborated by imaging studies and/or electrodiagnostic testing. 2) Initially unresponsive to conservative treatment (exercises, physical methods, NSAIDs and muscle relaxants). 3) Injections should be performed using fluoroscopy (live x-ray) for guidance. 4) If used for diagnostic purposes, a maximum of two injections should be performed. A second block is not recommended if there is inadequate response to the first block. Diagnostic blocks should be at an interval of at least one to two weeks between injections. 5) No more than two nerve root levels should be injected using transforaminal blocks. 6) No more than one interlaminar level should be injected at one session. 7) In the therapeutic phase, repeat blocks should be based on continued objective documented pain and functional improvement, including at least 50% pain relief with associated reduction of medication use for six to eight weeks, with a general recommendation of no more than 4 blocks per region per year. (Manchikanti, 2003) (CMS, 2004) (Boswell, 2007) 8) Current research does not support a "series-of-three" injections in either the diagnostic or therapeutic phase. We recommend no more than 2 ESI injections. MRI of the cervical spine dated 7/26/13 revealed at C5-C6: no significant disc herniation. Spinal canal and neural foraminae are patent. Exiting nerve roots are normal. At C6-C7: mild disc desiccation. No significant disc herniation. Spinal canal and neural foraminae are patent. Exiting nerve roots are normal. Above-mentioned citation conveys radiculopathy must be documented by physical examination and corroborated by imaging studies and/or electrodiagnostic testing. As the first criteria is not met, the request is not medically necessary.