

Case Number:	CM15-0064202		
Date Assigned:	04/10/2015	Date of Injury:	03/02/2010
Decision Date:	05/08/2015	UR Denial Date:	03/23/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	04/03/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: North Carolina

Certification(s)/Specialty: Family Practice

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 55 year old male, who sustained an industrial injury on 3/2/10. He reported low back pain with bilateral leg numbness. The injured worker was diagnosed as having right genitofemoral neuralgia, right ilioinguinal neuralgia, recurrent lumbar disc disease with right lumbar radiculopathy, lumbar facet syndrome L1-2 through L5-S1, depressive disorder with anxiety reaction and benzodiazepine and opioid tolerance. Treatment to date has included 2 lumbar surgeries including anterior fusion at L4-5 and L5-S1 on 3/28/13. The injured worker underwent a right inguinal hernia repair on 7/8/13. Other treatment included medications. Currently, the injured worker complains of back pain associated with bilateral leg numbness, difficulty sleeping, insomnia, frustration, and anxiety with depression. The treating physician requested authorization for Valium 5mg #30. The treating physician noted Valium was recommended for anxiety/spasms and panic attacks.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Valium 5mg #30: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines benzodiazepines Page(s): 24.

Decision rationale: The California chronic pain medical treatment guidelines section on benzodiazepines states: Benzodiazepines. Not recommended for long-term use because long-term efficacy is unproven and there is a risk of dependence. Most guidelines limit use to 4 weeks. Their range of action includes sedative/hypnotic, anxiolytic, anticonvulsant, and muscle relaxant. Chronic benzodiazepines are the treatment of choice in very few conditions. Tolerance to hypnotic effects develops rapidly. Tolerance to anxiolytic effects occurs within months and long-term use may actually increase anxiety. A more appropriate treatment for anxiety disorder is an antidepressant. Tolerance to anticonvulsant and muscle relaxant effects occurs within weeks. (Baillargeon, 2003) (Ashton, 2005). The chronic long-term use of this class of medication is recommended in very few conditions per the California MTUS. There is no evidence however of failure of first line agent for the treatment of anxiety in the provided documentation. For this reason the request is not medically necessary.