

Case Number:	CM15-0064109		
Date Assigned:	04/10/2015	Date of Injury:	05/09/2014
Decision Date:	05/11/2015	UR Denial Date:	03/24/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	04/03/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
State(s) of Licensure: Florida
Certification(s)/Specialty: Family Practice

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 38-year-old male, who sustained an industrial injury on May 9, 2014. The injured worker was diagnosed as having back injury. Treatment and diagnostic studies to date have included medication. A progress note dated March 11, 2015 provides the injured worker complains of back pain radiating to the right leg. He reports no improvement. Physical exam notes no acute distress and lumbar tenderness. The plan includes medication and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI).

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Cyclobenzaprine 10mg #90: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Antispasticity/Antispasmodic Drugs, page(s) 100, 97 Page(s): Antispasticity/Antispasmodic Drugs, page(s) 100, 97.

Decision rationale: In accordance with the California MTUS guidelines, Cyclobenzaprine is a muscle relaxant and muscle relaxants are not recommended for the treatment of chronic pain. From the MTUS guidelines: "Recommend non-sedating muscle relaxants with caution as a second-line option for short-term treatment of acute exacerbations in patients with chronic LBP." Efficacy appears to diminish over time, and prolonged use of some medications in this class may lead to dependence. Likewise, this request for Cyclobenzaprine is not medically necessary.

Tylenol with Codeine #4 300-60mg #90: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Criteria for use of opioids, page(s) 110-115 Page(s): Criteria for use of opioids, page(s) 110-115.

Decision rationale: In accordance with California MTUS guidelines, narcotics for chronic pain management should be continued if "(a) If the patient has returned to work, (b) If the patient has improved functioning and pain." MTUS guidelines also recommend that narcotic medications only be prescribed for chronic pain when there is evidence of a pain management contract being upheld with proof of frequent urine drug screens. Regarding this patient's case, there is no objective evidence of functional improvement in the documentation presented. There is also no evidence of a pain management contract or of recent urine drug screens having been performed. Likewise, this request is not considered medically necessary.