

Case Number:	CM15-0062225		
Date Assigned:	04/20/2015	Date of Injury:	04/11/2013
Decision Date:	06/30/2015	UR Denial Date:	03/10/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	04/01/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Preventive Medicine, Occupational Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 24 year old male who sustained an industrial injury on April 11, 2013. He has reported pain in the right arm and left hand and has been diagnosed with carpal tunnel syndrome and tenosynovitis of hand and wrist not elsewhere classified. Treatment has included physical therapy, injection, medications, hand therapy, and modified work duty. Currently the injured worker had tenderness to pressure over the wrists and pressure over the mid right forearm produced pain. The treatment request included medications.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Naproxen Sodium 550mg: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines 9792.20 - 9792.26 Page(s): 67-73.

Decision rationale: The MTUS recommends NSAIDs at the lowest dose for the shortest period in patients with moderate to severe pain. NSAIDs appear to be superior to acetaminophen, particularly for patients with moderate to severe pain. There is no evidence of long-term effectiveness for pain or function. The medical record contains no documentation of functional improvement. Naproxen Sodium 550mg is not medically necessary.

Omeprazole DR 20mg: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines 9792.20 - 9792.26 Page(s): 68.

Decision rationale: According to the Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines, prior to starting the patient on a proton pump inhibitor, physicians are asked to evaluate the patient and to determine if the patient is at risk for gastrointestinal events. Criteria used are: (1) age > 65 years; (2) history of peptic ulcer, GI bleeding or perforation; (3) concurrent use of ASA, corticosteroids, and/or an anticoagulant; or (4) high dose/multiple NSAID. There is no documentation that the patient has any of the risk factors needed to recommend the proton pump inhibitor Omeprazole. Omeprazole DR 20mg is not medically necessary.

Tramadol HCL 50mg: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines 9792.20 - 9792.26 Page(s): 113.

Decision rationale: The Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines state that continued or long-term use of opioids should be based on documented pain relief and functional improvement or improved quality of life. Tramadol is a centrally acting synthetic opioid analgesic and it is not recommended as a first-line oral analgesic. Despite the long-term use of Tramadol, the patient has reported very little, if any, functional improvement or pain relief over the course of the last 6 months. A previous utilization review decision provided the patient with sufficient quantity of medication to be weaned slowly off of narcotic. Tramadol HCL 50mg is not medically necessary.

Zolpidem Tartrate tablets 10mg: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Treatment Index, 11th Edition (web), 2014, Pain, Zolpidem.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Official Disability Guidelines, Pain (Chronic), Zolpidem (Ambien).

Decision rationale: The Official Disability Guidelines do not recommend the use of sleeping pills for long-term use. While sleeping pills, so-called minor tranquilizers, and anti-anxiety agents are commonly prescribed in chronic pain, pain specialists rarely, if ever, recommend them for long-term use. They can be habit-forming, and they may impair function and memory more than opioid pain relievers. There is also concern that they may increase pain and depression over the long-term. The patient has been taking Ambien for longer than the 2-6 week period recommended by the ODG. Zolpidem Tartrate tablets 10mg is not medically necessary.