

Case Number:	CM15-0062207		
Date Assigned:	04/08/2015	Date of Injury:	04/09/2008
Decision Date:	05/14/2015	UR Denial Date:	03/20/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	04/01/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Preventive Medicine, Occupational Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 49-year-old female who sustained an industrial injury on 4/9/08. The injured worker reported symptoms of stress and musculoskeletal pain. The injured worker was diagnosed as having abdominal pain, gastroesophageal reflux disease secondary to non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs use and diabetes mellitus triggered by industrial injury. Treatments to date have included non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and proton pump inhibitor. Currently, the injured worker complains of stress and musculoskeletal pain. The plan of care was for diagnostics and a follow up appointment at a later date.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Enhance MRI lumbosacral spine: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints
Page(s): 297, 303, 304, 309.

Decision rationale: The MTUS Guidelines do not recommend the routine use of MRI with low back complaints. MRI should be reserved for cases where there is physiologic evidence that tissue insult or nerve impairment exists, and the MRI is used to determine the specific cause. MRI is recommended if there is concern for spinal stenosis, cauda equine, tumor, infection or fracture is strongly suspected, and x-rays are negative. Based on the medical records submitted for this review and the applicable references listed above, the request for the enhanced MRI of lumbar spine is determined to not be medically necessary.