

Case Number:	CM15-0062120		
Date Assigned:	04/08/2015	Date of Injury:	10/23/2014
Decision Date:	05/11/2015	UR Denial Date:	03/11/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	04/01/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This 47 year old female sustained an industrial injury on 10/23/14. She subsequently reported left wrist pain. Diagnoses include tendinitis. Diagnostic testing has included x-rays and MRIs. Treatments to date have included modified work duty, a brace and prescription pain medications. The injured worker continues to experience chronic wrist and hand pain. A request for Acupuncture x 6 sessions to the left hand/wrist, Hand therapy 2 x 6 for the left hand and MRI of left wrist, and 3 tesla was made by the treating physician.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Acupuncture x 6 sessions to the left hand/wrist: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines, Forearm, Wrist and Hand Chapter.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Acupuncture Treatment Guidelines.

Decision rationale: It is not clear if the patient has participated in previous acupuncture. Current clinical exam show no specific physical impairments or clear dermatomal/ myotomal neurological deficits to support for treatment with acupuncture to the cervical and thoracic spine. The patient has been certified physical therapy without documented functional improvement. There are no clear specific documented goals or objective measures to identify for improvement with a functional restoration approach for this injury with ongoing unchanged chronic pain complaints. MTUS, Acupuncture Guidelines recommend initial trial of conjunctive acupuncture visit of 3 treatments with further consideration upon evidence of objective functional improvement. Submitted reports have not demonstrated the medical indication to support this request or specific conjunctive therapy towards a functional restoration approach for acupuncture visits, beyond guidelines criteria for initial trial. The Acupuncture x 6 sessions to the left hand/wrist is not medically necessary and appropriate.

Hand therapy 2 x 6 for the left hand: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Physical Medicine.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Physical Therapy Page(s): 98 and 99.

Decision rationale: Occupational therapy is considered medically necessary when the services require the judgment, knowledge, and skills of a qualified occupational therapist due to the complexity and sophistication of the therapy and the physical condition of the patient. However, there is no clear measurable evidence of progress with the OT treatment already rendered including milestones of increased ROM, strength, and functional capacity. Review of submitted physician reports show no evidence of functional benefit, unchanged chronic symptom complaints, clinical findings, and functional status. There is no evidence documenting functional baseline with clear goals to be reached and the patient striving to reach those goals. The Chronic Pain Guidelines allow for 9-10 visits of occupational therapy with fading of treatment to an independent self-directed home program. It appears the employee has received significant therapy sessions without demonstrated evidence of functional improvement to allow for additional therapy treatments. There is no report of acute flare-up, new injuries, or change in symptom or clinical findings to support for formal OT in a patient that has been instructed on a home exercise program for this injury. Submitted reports have not adequately demonstrated the indication to support further occupational therapy of 12 sessions when prior treatment rendered has not resulted in any functional benefit. The Hand therapy 2 x 6 for the left hand is not medically necessary and appropriate.

MRI of left wrist, 3 tesla: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 11 Forearm, Wrist, and Hand Complaints.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 11 Forearm, Wrist, and Hand Complaints Page(s): 268 and 269.

Decision rationale: Criteria for ordering imaging studies such include Emergence of a red flag; Physiologic evidence of tissue insult or neurologic dysfunction; Failure to progress in a strengthening program intended to avoid surgery; Clarification of the anatomy prior to an invasive procedure. Physiologic evidence may be in the form of definitive neurologic findings on physical examination and electrodiagnostic studies. Unequivocal findings that identify specific nerve compromise on the neurologic examination are sufficient evidence to warrant imaging studies if symptoms persist; however, review of submitted medical reports have not adequately demonstrated the indication for the MRI with exam findings only indicating tenderness without instability or neurological deficits. When the neurologic examination is less clear, further physiologic evidence of nerve dysfunction can be obtained before ordering an imaging study. The MRI of left wrist, 3 tesla is not medically necessary and appropriate.