

Case Number:	CM15-0061236		
Date Assigned:	04/07/2015	Date of Injury:	08/28/2010
Decision Date:	05/11/2015	UR Denial Date:	03/02/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	03/31/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: New Jersey, Michigan, California
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Neurology, Neuromuscular Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 50-year-old female, who sustained an industrial injury on August 28, 2010. The injured worker reported laceration of left wrist. The injured worker was diagnosed as having ulnar nerve laceration and open wound of left hand with tendon involvement. Treatment and diagnostic studies to date have included surgery and medication. A progress note dated November 14, 2014 provides the injured worker complains of left arm and hand pain described as dull and achy. Physical exam notes decreased range of motion (ROM). There is a request for topical medication dated January 9, 2015.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Gabapentin 10%/Amitriptyline 10%/Bupivacaine in cream base 180gms: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical analgesics. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG), Pain (Chronic).

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical Analgesics Page(s): 111.

Decision rationale: According to MTUS, in Chronic Pain Medical Treatment, guidelines section Topical Analgesics (page 111), topical analgesics are largely experimental in use with few randomized controlled trials to determine efficacy or safety. Many agents are combined to other pain medications for pain control. That is limited research to support the use of many of these agents. Furthermore, according to MTUS guidelines, any compounded product that contains at least one drug or drug class that is not recommended is not recommended. There is no proven efficacy of topical application of Amitriptyline and gabapentin. Furthermore, oral form of these medications was not attempted, and there is no documentation of failure or adverse reaction from their use. Based on the above, the use of Gabapentin 10%/Amitriptyline 10%/Bupivacaine in cream base 180gms is not medically necessary.

Flurbiprofen 20%/Baclofen 5%/Dexamethasone 2%/Menthol 2%/Camphor 2%/Capsaicin 0.025% in cream base 180gms: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical analgesics. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG), Pain (Chronic).

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical Analgesics Page(s): 111.

Decision rationale: According to MTUS, in Chronic Pain Medical Treatment, guidelines section Topical Analgesics (page 111), topical analgesics are largely experimental in use with few randomized controlled trials to determine efficacy or safety. Many agents are combined to other pain medications for pain control. That is limited research to support the use of many of these agents. Furthermore, according to MTUS guidelines, any compounded product that contains at least one drug or drug class that is not recommended is not recommended. There is no evidence that Flurbiprofen or any other compound of the topical analgesic is recommended as topical analgesics for chronic ankle pain. Flurbiprofen, a topical analgesic is not recommended by MTUS guidelines. Based on the above Flurbiprofen 20%/Baclofen 5%/Dexamethasone 2%/Menthol 2%/Camphor 2%/Capsaicin 0.025% in cream base 180gms is not medically necessary.