MAXIMUS Federal Services

Case Number:	CM15-0061103		
Date Assigned:	04/07/2015	Date of Injury:	09/03/2013
Decision Date:	05/11/2015	UR Denial Date:	03/02/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	03/31/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
State(s) of Licensure: New Jersey, Michigan, California
Certification(s)/Specialty: Neurology, Neuromuscular Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 41 year old female, who sustained an industrial injury on 9/3/13. The initial injury complaints are not identified in the submitted documentation. The injured worker was diagnosed as having right ulnar nerve entrapment; hand arthropathy. Treatment to date has included medications. Currently, the PR-2 notes dated 3/3/15 indicate the injured worker complained of constant right elbow and right hand pain radiating with numbness, tingling and weakness to the fingers. An authorization for an orthopedic specialist to evaluate ongoing right nerve entrapment symptoms was approved and this is pending an appointment. The injured worker is taking Norco every 6-8 hours as needed for pain and the provider is requesting a urine toxicology screening to monitor the prescribed medications.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Urine toxicology: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG).

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids, steps to avoid misuse/addiction Page(s): 77-78; 94.

Decision rationale: According to MTUS guidelines, urine toxicology screens are indicated to avoid misuse/addiction. “(j) Consider the use of a urine drug screen to assess for the use or the presence of illegal drugs.” There is no evidence that the patient have aberrant behavior for urine drug screen. There is no clear evidence of abuse, addiction and poor pain control. There is no documentation that the patient has a history of use of illicit drugs. Therefore, the request for Urine drug screen is not medically necessary.