

Case Number:	CM15-0046436		
Date Assigned:	03/18/2015	Date of Injury:	01/19/2014
Decision Date:	05/13/2015	UR Denial Date:	03/05/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	03/11/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Arizona, Michigan

Certification(s)/Specialty: Preventive Medicine, Occupational Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 51 year old female, who sustained an industrial injury on 01/19/2014. On provider visit dated 01/21/2015 the injured worker has reported low back pain with associated numbness and pain that radiates down her lower extremity to the dorsal surface of her right foot. On examination, she was noted to have spasm in the lower lumbar area and paraspinals, tenderness upon palpation, pain is reproduced with motion, Lasegue test was positive on the right, and a decreased range of motion. A decreased sensation was noted at the dorsal aspect of the right foot. The diagnoses have included lumbar spine, herniated disc at L4-L5 and L5-S1 with right sided L5 radiculopathy. Treatment to date has included medication, lumbar support brace, physical therapy, injections and home exercise program.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

EMG for the right lower extremity: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints Page(s): 303. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Low

Back - Lumbar & Thoracic (Acute & Chronic) / Electrodiagnostic Studies, (EMG)
Electromyography, Nerve Conduction Studies(NCS).

Decision rationale: Per the MTUS, EMG may be useful to identify subtle, focal neurologic dysfunction in patients with low back symptoms lasting more than 3-4 weeks. Per the ODG, EMG's are not necessary if radiculopathy is already clinically obvious. NCS are not recommended. There is minimal justification for performing nerve conduction studies when a patient is presumed to have symptoms on the basis of radiculopathy. EMG/nerve conduction studies (NCS) often have low combined sensitivity and specificity in confirming root injury, and there is limited evidence to support the use of often uncomfortable and costly EMG/NCS. A review of the injured workers medical records reveal that radiculopathy is already clinically obvious, therefore based on the injured workers clinical presentation and the guidelines the request for EMG right lower extremity is not medically necessary.

EMG for the left lower extremity: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints Page(s): 303. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Low Back - Lumbar & Thoracic (Acute & Chronic) / Electrodiagnostic Studies, (EMG)
Electromyography, Nerve Conduction Studies(NCS).

Decision rationale: Per the MTUS, EMG may be useful to identify subtle, focal neurologic dysfunction in patients with low back symptoms lasting more than 3-4 weeks. Per the ODG, EMG's are not necessary if radiculopathy is already clinically obvious. NCS are not recommended. There is minimal justification for performing nerve conduction studies when a patient is presumed to have symptoms on the basis of radiculopathy. EMG/nerve conduction studies (NCS) often have low combined sensitivity and specificity in confirming root injury, and there is limited evidence to support the use of often uncomfortable and costly EMG/NCS. A review of the injured workers medical records reveal that radiculopathy is already clinically obvious, therefore based on the injured workers clinical presentation and the guidelines the request for EMG left lower extremity is not medically necessary.

NVC for the right lower extremity: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG).

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints Page(s): 303. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Low Back - Lumbar & Thoracic (Acute & Chronic) / Electrodiagnostic Studies, (EMG)
Electromyography, Nerve Conduction Studies(NCS).

Decision rationale: Per the MTUS, EMG may be useful to identify subtle, focal neurologic dysfunction in patients with low back symptoms lasting more than 3-4 weeks. Per the ODG,

EMG's are not necessary if radiculopathy is already clinically obvious. NCS are not recommended. There is minimal justification for performing nerve conduction studies when a patient is presumed to have symptoms on the basis of radiculopathy. EMG/nerve conduction studies (NCS) often have low combined sensitivity and specificity in confirming root injury, and there is limited evidence to support the use of often uncomfortable and costly EMG/NCS. A review of the injured workers medical records reveal that radiculopathy is already clinically obvious, therefore based on the injured workers clinical presentation and the guidelines the request for NCV right lower extremity is not medically necessary.

NCV for the left lower extremity: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG).

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints Page(s): 303. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Low Back - Lumbar & Thoracic (Acute & Chronic) / Electrodiagnostic Studies, (EMG) Electromyography, Nerve Conduction Studies(NCS).

Decision rationale: Per the MTUS, EMG may be useful to identify subtle, focal neurologic dysfunction in patients with low back symptoms lasting more than 3-4 weeks. Per the ODG, EMG's are not necessary if radiculopathy is already clinically obvious. NCS are not recommended. There is minimal justification for performing nerve conduction studies when a patient is presumed to have symptoms on the basis of radiculopathy. EMG/nerve conduction studies (NCS) often have low combined sensitivity and specificity in confirming root injury, and there is limited evidence to support the use of often uncomfortable and costly EMG/NCS. A review of the injured workers medical records reveal that radiculopathy is already clinically obvious, therefore based on the injured workers clinical presentation and the guidelines the request for NCV left lower extremity is not medically necessary.