

Case Number:	CM15-0045691		
Date Assigned:	03/18/2015	Date of Injury:	11/11/2014
Decision Date:	06/18/2015	UR Denial Date:	03/04/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	03/10/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: California, Indiana, Oregon
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Orthopedic Surgery

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 52 year old female, who sustained an industrial injury on 11/11/14. The injured worker has complaints of right shoulder pain and left groin and thigh pain. The documentation noted that right hip examination was unremarkable, left hip motion slightly restricted in terminal arc of external and internal rotation. The diagnoses have included complete rupture of rotator cuff. Treatment to date has included magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) noted full-thickness tear supraspinatus; physical therapy and medications. The request was for surgical repair of torn rotator cuff, right shoulder.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Surgical repair of torn rotator cuff, right shoulder: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 9 Shoulder Complaints.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) shoulder.

Decision rationale: According to the CA MTUS/ACOEM Shoulder Chapter, page 209-210, surgical considerations for the shoulder include failure of four months of activity modification and existence of a surgical lesion. In addition the guidelines recommend surgery consideration for a clear clinical and imaging evidence of a lesion shown to benefit from surgical repair. The ODG Shoulder section, surgery for rotator cuff repair, recommends 3-6 months of conservative care with a painful arc on exam from 90-130 degrees and night pain. There also must be weak or absent abduction with tenderness and impingement signs on exam. Finally there must be evidence of temporary relief from anesthetic pain injection and imaging evidence of deficit in rotator cuff. In this case the submitted notes from 2/24/15 do not demonstrate 4 months of failure of activity modification and conservative care as the amount of therapy done is not documented. The physical exam from 2/24/15 does not demonstrate relief from anesthetic injection. Therefore the determination is not medically necessary.