

Case Number:	CM15-0039582		
Date Assigned:	03/09/2015	Date of Injury:	02/18/1972
Decision Date:	04/15/2015	UR Denial Date:	02/11/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	03/02/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: New Jersey, Michigan, California
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Neurology, Neuromuscular Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 74-year-old male who sustained an industrial injury on February 18, 1972. He has reported back pain and hand pain, right hand numbness and has been diagnosed with flat back syndrome. Treatment has included surgery, medications, and recommendations for physical therapy and injections. Currently the injured worker has developed thoracolumbar region pain that was worse in the morning and better with standing and activity. The treatment plan included medications, physical therapy, and follow up.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Motrin 800mg, #60: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines NSAIDs Page(s): 67-70.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Naproxen Page(s): 66.

Decision rationale: According to MTUS guidelines, Motrin is indicated for relief of pain related to osteoarthritis and back pain for the lowest dose and shortest period of time. There is no documentation that the shortest and the lowest dose of Motrin were used. There is no clear documentation of pain and functional improvement with NSAID use. Therefore, the prescription of Motrin 800mg #60 is not medically necessary.

MS Contin 30mg, #30: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids Page(s): 74-82.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Criteria for use of opioids Page(s): 76-79.

Decision rationale: According to MTUS guidelines, ongoing use of opioids should follow specific rules: (a) Prescriptions from a single practitioner taken as directed, and all prescriptions from a single pharmacy. (b) The lowest possible dose should be prescribed to improve pain and function. (c) Office: Ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects. Four domains have been proposed as most relevant for ongoing monitoring of chronic pain patients on opioids: pain relief, side effects, physical and psychosocial functioning, and the occurrence of any potentially aberrant (or non-adherent) drug-related behaviors. These domains have been summarized as the 4A's (analgesia, activities of daily living, adverse side effects, and aberrant drug taking behaviors). The monitoring of these outcomes over time should affect therapeutic decisions and provide a framework. There is no clear documentation of patient improvement in level of function, quality of life, adequate follow up for absence of side effects and aberrant behavior with a previous use of narcotics. The patient continues to have chronic pain despite the continuous use of narcotics. Therefore, the request for 1 prescription of MS Contin 30mg #30 is not medically necessary.

Morphine Sulfate IR 30mg, #90: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids Page(s): 74-82.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines, Guidelines Criteria for use of opioids Page(s): 76-79.

Decision rationale: According to MTUS guidelines, ongoing use of opioids should follow specific rules: (a) Prescriptions from a single practitioner taken as directed, and all prescriptions from a single pharmacy. (b) The lowest possible dose should be prescribed to improve pain and function. (c) Office: Ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects. Four domains have been proposed as most relevant for ongoing monitoring of chronic pain patients on opioids: pain relief, side effects, physical and psychosocial functioning, and the occurrence of any potentially aberrant (or non-adherent) drug-related behaviors. These domains have been summarized as the 4A's (analgesia, activities of daily living, adverse side effects, and aberrant drug taking behaviors). The monitoring of these

outcomes over time should affect therapeutic decisions and provide a framework. There is no clear documentation of patient improvement in level of function, quality of life, adequate follow up for absence of side effects and aberrant behavior with a previous use of opioids. There is no documentation of compliance of the patient with his medication. Therefore, the request for prescription of Morphine Sulfate IR 30mg is not medically necessary.