

Case Number:	CM15-0034887		
Date Assigned:	03/03/2015	Date of Injury:	11/21/2011
Decision Date:	04/10/2015	UR Denial Date:	02/17/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	02/24/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: New Jersey, Michigan, California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Neurology, Neuromuscular Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This 50-year-old male reported a work-related injury on 11/21/2011. In the PR2 dated 12/22/14, the injured worker (IW) reports continued, increased low back pain with shooting pain in the legs, intense lower back spasms and neck pain causing more frequent headaches. According to the spine surgery consultation dated 1/16/15, the IW reports severe low back pain rated 8-9/10; the pain is stabbing and burning, radiating around his midriff. He also has pain in his neck radiating into his underarms, with numbness in the hands. The neck pain causes persistent headaches. The IW was diagnosed with failed low back surgery syndrome, status post spinal fusion, cervical radiculopathy, bilateral sacroiliitis and chronic high dose opiate use. Previous treatments include medications, home exercise program, epidural steroid injections, sacroiliac joint injections, Botox injections, physical therapy, acupuncture, cane use and back surgery. The treating provider requests Oxycodone 15mg, #60; Oxycontin 30mg, #60 and Soma 350mg, #20. The Utilization Review on 02/17/2015 non-certified the request for Oxycodone 15mg, #60; Oxycontin 30mg, #60 and Soma 350mg, #20, citing CA MTUS guidelines.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Oxycodone 15MG #60: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Criteria for use of opioids Page(s): 76-79.

Decision rationale: According to MTUS guidelines, Oxycodone as well as other short acting opioids are indicated for intermittent or breakthrough pain (page 75). It can be used in acute post operative pain. It is not recommended for chronic pain of long-term use as prescribed in this case. In addition and according to MTUS guidelines, ongoing use of opioids should follow specific rules: (a) Prescriptions from a single practitioner taken as directed, and all prescriptions from a single pharmacy. (b) The lowest possible dose should be prescribed to improve pain and function. (c) Office: Ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects. Pain assessment should include current pain; the least reported pain over the period since last assessment; average pain; intensity of pain after taking the opioid; how long it takes for pain relief; and how long pain relief lasts. Satisfactory response to treatment may be indicated by the patient's decreased pain, increased level of function, or improved quality of life. Information from family members or other caregivers should be considered in determining the patient's response to treatment. The 4 A's for Ongoing Monitoring: Four domains have been proposed as most relevant for ongoing monitoring of chronic pain patients on opioids: pain relief, side effects, physical and psychosocial functioning, and the occurrence of any potentially aberrant (or non adherent) drug-related behaviors. These domains have been summarized as the "4 A's" (analgesia, activities of daily living, adverse side effects, and aberrant drug taking behaviors). The monitoring of these outcomes over time should affect therapeutic decisions and provide a framework. There is no clear documentation for the need for continuous use of Oxycodone. There is no documentation for functional improvement with previous use of Oxycodone. There is no documentation of compliance of the patient with his medications. Based on the above, the prescription of Oxycodone 15mg #60 is not medically necessary.

Oxycontin 30mg #60: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Criteria for use of Opioids Page(s): 75-81.

Decision rationale: According to MTUS guidelines, Oxycodone as well as other short acting opioids are indicated for intermittent or breakthrough pain (page 75). It can be used in acute post operative pain. It is not recommended for chronic pain of long-term use as prescribed in this case. In addition and according to MTUS guidelines, ongoing use of opioids should follow specific rules: (a) Prescriptions from a single practitioner taken as directed, and all prescriptions from a single pharmacy. (b) The lowest possible dose should be prescribed to improve pain and function. (c) Office: Ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects. Pain assessment should include current pain;

the least reported pain over the period since last assessment; average pain; intensity of pain after taking the opioid; how long it takes for pain relief; and how long pain relief lasts. Satisfactory response to treatment may be indicated by the patient's decreased pain, increased level of function, or improved quality of life. Information from family members or other caregivers should be considered in determining the patient's response to treatment. The 4 A's for Ongoing Monitoring: Four domains have been proposed as most relevant for ongoing monitoring of chronic pain patients on opioids: pain relief, side effects, physical and psychosocial functioning, and the occurrence of any potentially aberrant (or non adherent) drug-related behaviors. These domains have been summarized as the "4 A's" (analgesia, activities of daily living, adverse side effects, and aberrant drug taking behaviors). The monitoring of these outcomes over time should affect therapeutic decisions and provide a framework. There is no clear justification to continue using Oxycontin. There is no documentation of pain or functional improvement from previous use of Oxycontin. There is no documentation of breakthrough pain. There is no documentation of continuous compliance of the patient with his medications. There is no documentation of the safety of the used opioids. Therefore, the prescription of Oxycontin 30mg #60 is not medically necessary at this time.

Soma 350mg #20: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Soma.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Soma
Page(s): 29.

Decision rationale: According to MTUS guidelines, non-sedating muscle relaxants are recommended with caution as a second line option for short-term treatment of acute exacerbations in patients with chronic lumbosacral pain. Efficacy appears to diminish over time and prolonged use may cause dependence. According to the provided file, the patient was prescribed Soma for a long time without clear evidence of spasm or exacerbation lumbar and neck pain and no evidence of functional improvement. There is no justification for prolonged use of Soma. The request for Soma 350mg #20 is not medically necessary.