

Case Number:	CM15-0029500		
Date Assigned:	02/23/2015	Date of Injury:	06/18/2007
Decision Date:	04/01/2015	UR Denial Date:	02/02/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	02/17/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: California
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Emergency Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This 53 year old male sustained an industrial injury on 6/18/07. He subsequently reports ongoing low back pain. Diagnoses include bilateral rotator cuff tear and lumbar disc disease. Treatments to date have included injections and prescription pain medications. On 2/2/15, Utilization Review non-certified Tramadol ER 150mg, #60 and Omeprazole 20mg, #60. The Tramadol ER 150mg, #60 and Omeprazole 20mg, #60 was denied based on MTUS Chronic Pain guidelines.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Tramadol ER 150mg, #60: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids, On-Going Management, Pages 78-80, Opioids for Chronic Pain, Pages 80-82, and Tramadol, Page 113 Page(s): 113, 78-82.

Decision rationale: The requested Tramadol ER 150mg, #60, is not medically necessary. CA MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines, Opioids, On-Going Management, Pages 78-80, Opioids for Chronic Pain, Pages 80-82, and Tramadol, Page 113, do not recommend this synthetic opioid as first-line therapy, and recommend continued use of opiates for the treatment of moderate to severe pain, with documented objective evidence of derived functional benefit, as well as documented opiate surveillance measures. The injured worker has low back pain. The treating physician has not documented: failed first-line opiate trials, VAS pain quantification with and without medications, duration of treatment, objective evidence of derived functional benefit such as improvements in activities of daily living or reduced work restrictions or decreased reliance on medical intervention, nor measures of opiate surveillance including an executed narcotic pain contract nor urine drug screening. The criteria noted above not having been met, Tramadol ER 150mg, #60 is not medically necessary.

Omeprazole 20mg, #60: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines NSAIDs, GI symptoms & cardiovascular risk, Pages 68-69 Page(s): 68-69.

Decision rationale: The requested Omeprazole 20mg, #60, is not medically necessary. California's Division of Worker's Compensation Medical Treatment Utilization Schedule 2009, Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines, NSAIDs, GI symptoms & cardiovascular risk, Pages 68-69, note that "Clinicians should weigh the indications for NSAIDs against both GI and cardiovascular risk factors. Determine if the patient is at risk for gastrointestinal events: (1) age > 65 years; (2) history of peptic ulcer, GI bleeding or perforation; (3) concurrent use of ASA, corticosteroids, and/or an anticoagulant; or (4) high dose/multiple NSAID (e.g., NSAID + low-dose ASA)" and recommend proton-pump inhibitors for patients taking NSAID's with documented GI distress symptoms and/or the above-referenced GI risk factors. The injured worker has low back pain. The treating physician has not documented medication-induced GI complaints nor GI risk factors. The criteria noted above not having been met, Omeprazole 20mg, #60 is not medically necessary.