

Case Number:	CM15-0025900		
Date Assigned:	02/18/2015	Date of Injury:	10/24/2011
Decision Date:	04/01/2015	UR Denial Date:	01/21/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	02/11/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation, Pain Management

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This 41 year old male sustained an industrial injury on 10/24/11. He subsequently reports ongoing low back pain. Diagnoses include thoracolumbar musculoligamentous sprain/ strain with bilateral extremity radiculitis. Prior MRI and EMG testing have revealed abnormalities of the spine. Treatments to date have included prescription pain medications. On 1/21/15, Utilization Review non-certified a request for Norflex 100mg #30. The Norflex 100mg #30 was denied based on MTUS Chronic Pain guidelines.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Norflex 100mg #30: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Muscle relaxants (for pain) Page(s): 64-66.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines 8 C.C.R. 9792.20 - 9792.26 MTUS (Effective July 18, 2009) Page(s): 63-66 of 127.

Decision rationale: Regarding the request for orphenadrine (Norflex), Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines support the use of nonsedating muscle relaxants to be used with caution as

a 2nd line option for the short-term treatment of acute exacerbations of pain. Guidelines go on to state that cyclobenzaprine specifically is recommended for a short course of therapy. Within the documentation available for review, there is no identification of a specific analgesic benefit or objective functional improvement as a result of the cyclobenzaprine. Additionally, it does not appear that this medication is being prescribed for the short-term treatment of an acute exacerbation, as recommended by guidelines. Finally, there is no documentation of failure of first-line treatment options, as recommended by guidelines. In the absence of such documentation, the currently requested orphenadrine (Norflex) is not medically necessary.