

Case Number:	CM15-0177450		
Date Assigned:	09/18/2015	Date of Injury:	09/22/2014
Decision Date:	10/26/2015	UR Denial Date:	08/27/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	09/09/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: North Carolina

Certification(s)/Specialty: Family Practice

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 28 year old female with an industrial injury dated 09-22-2014. A review of the medical records indicates that the injured worker is undergoing treatment for cervical sprain and strain and frequent headaches. According to the progress note dated 08-19-2015, the injured worker reported neck pain rated 7 out of 10 with intermittent headaches and numbness and tingling on left upper extremity. The injured worker has positive gastrointestinal side effects treated with proton pump inhibitors. Some documentation within the submitted medical records is difficult to decipher. Objective findings revealed tenderness to palpitation of cervical spine and decrease flexion and extension. The treating physician prescribed services for one functional capacity evaluation, now under review. The original utilization review (08-27-2015) denied the request for one functional capacity evaluation.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

One functional capacity evaluation: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG).

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Functional capacity evaluation.

Decision rationale: The California MTUS and the ACOEM do not specifically address functional capacity evaluations. Per the ODG, functional capacity evaluations (FCE) are recommended prior to admission to work hardening programs, with preference for assessments tailored to a specific job. Not recommended as a routine use as part of occupational rehab or screening or generic assessments in which the question is whether someone can do any type of job. Consider FCE: 1. Case management is hampered by complex issues such as: a. Prior unsuccessful RTW attempts b. Conflicting medical reporting on precaution and/or fitness for modified jobs c. Injuries that require detailed exploration of the worker's abilities 2. Timing is appropriate a. Close or at MMI/all key medical reports secured b. Additional/secondary conditions clarified. There is no indication in the provided documentation of prior failed return to work attempts or conflicting medical reports or injuries that require detailed exploration of the worker's abilities. Therefore criteria have not been met as set forth by the ODG and the request is not medically necessary.