

Case Number:	CM15-0174503		
Date Assigned:	09/16/2015	Date of Injury:	09/01/2004
Decision Date:	10/16/2015	UR Denial Date:	08/19/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	09/04/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 43 year old male, who sustained an industrial injury on September 1, 2004, incurring low back injuries. Magnetic Resonance Imaging of the lumbar spine revealed lumbar bulging. He was diagnosed with lumbar radiculopathy, neuropathy and lumbar disc disease. A surgical lumbar fusion was performed in 2008. The injured worker complained of persistent low back pain and underwent surgical removal of hardware in 2009. In 2012, the injured worker continued with chronic low back pain. He continued with a weight loss program and pain management. Magnetic Resonance Imaging revealed a stable lumbar fusion. Other treatment included physical therapy and home exercise program, pain medications, anti-inflammatory drugs, antidepressants, muscle relaxants, weight reductions program, pool therapy and activity restrictions. Currently, the injured worker complained of continued low back pain with a tingling sensation into his legs and a burning sensation when standing and sitting for a prolonged period of time. The treatment plan that was requested for authorization on August 26, 2015, included prescriptions for Norco, Cymbalta and Neurontin. On August 19, 2015, a request for prescriptions for Norco, Cymbalta and Neurontin were denied.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Norco 10/325mg #180: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Opioids, criteria for use, Opioids for chronic pain, Opioids, cancer pain vs. nonmalignant pain, Opioids, pain treatment agreement.

Decision rationale: The MTUS Guidelines cite opioid use in the setting of chronic, non-malignant, or neuropathic pain is controversial. Patients on opioids should be routinely monitored for signs of impairment and use of opioids in patients with chronic pain should be reserved for those with improved functional outcomes attributable to their use, in the context of an overall approach to pain management that also includes non-opioid analgesics, adjuvant therapies, psychological support, and active treatments (e.g., exercise). Submitted documents show no evidence that the treating physician is prescribing opioids in accordance to change in pain relief, functional goals with demonstrated improvement in daily activities, decreased in medical utilization or change in functional status. There is no evidence presented of random drug testing results or utilization of pain contract to adequately monitor for narcotic safety, efficacy, and compliance. The MTUS provides requirements of the treating physician to assess and document for functional improvement with treatment intervention and maintenance of function that would otherwise deteriorate if not supported. From the submitted reports, there is no demonstrated evidence of specific functional benefit derived from the continuing use of opioids in terms of decreased pharmacological dosing, decreased medical utilization, increased ADLs and functional work status with persistent severe pain for this chronic 2004 injury without acute flare, new injury, or progressive neurological deterioration. The Norco 10/325mg #180 is not medically necessary or appropriate.

Cymbalta 30mg #30 with 2 refills: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Antidepressants for chronic pain.

Decision rationale: Per MTUS Chronic Treatment Pain Guidelines, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) such as Cymbalta (Duloxetine, a class of antidepressants that inhibit serotonin reuptake without action on noradrenaline), are controversial based on controlled trials. It has been suggested that the main role of SSRIs may be in addressing psychological symptoms associated with chronic pain; however, more information is needed regarding the role of SSRIs and pain. Duloxetine (Cymbalta) is FDA-approved for anxiety, depression, diabetic neuropathy, and fibromyalgia; Used off-label for neuropathic pain and radiculopathy; and is recommended as a first-line option for diabetic neuropathy; however, no high quality evidence is reported to support the use of duloxetine for lumbar radiculopathy and more studies are needed to determine the efficacy of duloxetine for other types of neuropathic pain. There is no mention of previous failed trial of TCA or other first-line medications and without specific improvement in clinical findings, medical necessity has not been established. The Cymbalta 30mg #30 with 2 refills is not medically necessary or appropriate.

Neurontin 100mg #60: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Antiepilepsy drugs (AEDs).

Decision rationale: Although Neurontin (Gabapentin) has been shown to be effective for treatment of diabetic painful neuropathy and postherpetic neuralgia and has been considered as a first-line treatment for neuropathic pain; however, submitted reports have not adequately demonstrated the specific symptom relief or functional benefit from treatment already rendered for this chronic injury. Medical reports have not demonstrated specific change, progression of neurological deficits or neuropathic pain with functional improvement from treatment of this chronic 2004 injury. Previous treatment with Neurontin has not resulted in any functional benefit and medical necessity has not been established. The Neurontin 100mg #60 is not medically necessary or appropriate.