

Case Number:	CM15-0174007		
Date Assigned:	09/23/2015	Date of Injury:	06/26/2001
Decision Date:	12/18/2015	UR Denial Date:	08/11/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	09/03/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following
 credentials: State(s) of Licensure: California
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Emergency Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 47 year old female, who sustained an industrial injury on 06-26-2001. She has reported injury to the neck. The diagnoses have included cervical spondylosis without myelopathy; and cervical radiculopathy. Treatment to date has included medications, diagnostics, and cervical spine surgery. Medications have included Morphine ER, Norco, Fentanyl patch, Soma, Valium, and Lunesta. A progress note from the treating physician, dated 08-03-2015, documented a follow-up visit with the injured worker. The injured worker reported chronic neck and arm pain; the location of pain is at the neck radiating to the bilateral shoulders; the pain at present is rated at 8 out of 10 in intensity on the pain scale; she describes her pain as aching, constant, radiating, and shooting; she has an appointment with another provider for continuing spine workup; she has been approved to see a spinal neurosurgeon for a consultation; she does not currently have an appointment for that because she is still getting over some complications from her recent gynecological surgery; she continues with her therapeutic medications as before; the medications do provide pain relief and preservation of functional capacity. Objective findings included she is alert and orient; she is in moderate distress; she is wearing dark glasses due to a migraine headache; cervical spine incisions are present posterior and anterior; tenderness throughout her posterior cervical and occipital regions; limited range of motion of the cervical and lumbar spine; and there is lumbar tenderness. The treatment plan has included the request for Fentanyl 75mcg #15; Lunesta 3mg #30; Morphine ER 30mg #30; Morphine ER 60mg #60; Norco 10-325mg #120; Soma 350mg #180; and Valium 5mg #60. The original utilization review, dated 08-11-2015, non-certified the request for Fentanyl 75mcg #15; Lunesta 3mg #30; Morphine ER 30mg #30; Morphine ER 60mg #60; Norco 10-325mg #120; Soma 350mg #180; and Valium 5mg #60.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Fentanyl 75mcg #15: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Opioids, criteria for use.

Decision rationale: The request is for the use of a medication in the opioid class. The MTUS guidelines state that for ongoing treatment with a pharmaceutical in this class, certain requirements are necessary. This includes not only adequate pain control, but also functional improvement. Four domains have been proposed for management of patients on opioids. This includes pain relief, side effects, physical and psychosocial functioning, and the occurrence of any potentially aberrant drug-related behaviors. As part of the pain treatment agreement, it is advised that "Refills are limited, and will only occur at appointments." In this case, there is inadequate documentation of persistent functional improvement seen. "Functional improvement" means either a clinically significant improvement in activities of daily living or a reduction in work restrictions as measured during the history and physical exam, performed and documented as part of the evaluation and management visit and a reduction in the dependency on continued medical treatment. As such, the request is not medically necessary. All opioid medications should be titrated down slowly in order to prevent a significant withdrawal syndrome.

Lunesta 3mg #30: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines, Pain Chapter, Lunesta.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Mental illness & Stress/Eszopicolone (Lunesta).

Decision rationale: The request is for the use of Lunesta to aid in insomnia. The official disability guidelines state the following regarding this topic: Not recommended for long-term use, but recommended for short-term use. See Insomnia treatment. See also the Pain Chapter. Recommend limiting use of hypnotics to three weeks maximum in the first two months of injury only, and discourage use in the chronic phase. While sleeping pills, so-called minor tranquilizers, and anti-anxiety agents are commonly prescribed in chronic pain, pain specialists rarely, if ever, recommend them for long-term use. They can be habit-forming, and they may impair function and memory more than opioid pain relievers. There is also concern that they may increase pain

and depression over the long-term. In this study, eszopiclone (Lunesta) had a Hazard ratio for death of 30.62 (C.I., 12.90 to 72.72), compared to Zolpidem at 4.82 (4.06 to 5.74). In general, receiving hypnotic prescriptions was associated with greater than a threefold increased hazard of death even when prescribed less than 18 pills/year. (Kripke, 2012) The FDA has lowered the recommended starting dose of eszopiclone (Lunesta) from 2 mg to 1 mg for both men and women. Previously recommended doses can cause impairment to driving skills, memory, and coordination as long as 11 hours after the drug is taken. Despite these long-lasting effects, patients were often unaware they were impaired. (FDA, 2014) In this case, continued use of this medication is not supported by the guidelines. This is secondary to the duration with long-term use being not advised. As such, the request is not medically necessary.

Morphine ER 30mg #30: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Opioids, criteria for use.

Decision rationale: The request is for the use of a medication in the opioid class. The MTUS guidelines state that for ongoing treatment with a pharmaceutical in this class, certain requirements are necessary. This includes not only adequate pain control, but also functional improvement. Four domains have been proposed for management of patients on opioids. This includes pain relief, side effects, physical and psychosocial functioning, and the occurrence of any potentially aberrant drug-related behaviors. As part of the pain treatment agreement, it is advised that "Refills are limited, and will only occur at appointments." In this case, there is inadequate documentation of persistent functional improvement seen. "Functional improvement" means either a clinically significant improvement in activities of daily living or a reduction in work restrictions as measured during the history and physical exam, performed and documented as part of the evaluation and management visit and a reduction in the dependency on continued medical treatment. As such, the request is not medically necessary. All opioid medications should be titrated down slowly in order to prevent a significant withdrawal syndrome.

Morphine ER 60mg #60: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Opioids, criteria for use.

Decision rationale: The request is for the use of a medication in the opioid class. The MTUS guidelines state that for ongoing treatment with a pharmaceutical in this class, certain requirements are necessary. This includes not only adequate pain control, but also functional improvement. Four domains have been proposed for management of patients on opioids. This includes pain relief, side effects, physical and psychosocial functioning, and the occurrence of

any potentially aberrant drug-related behaviors. As part of the pain treatment agreement, it is advised that "Refills are limited, and will only occur at appointments." In this case, there is inadequate documentation of persistent functional improvement seen. "Functional improvement" means either a clinically significant improvement in activities of daily living or a reduction in work restrictions as measured during the history and physical exam, performed and documented as part of the evaluation and management visit and a reduction in the dependency on continued medical treatment. As such, the request is not medically necessary. All opioid medications should be titrated down slowly in order to prevent a significant withdrawal syndrome.

Norco 10-325mg #120: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Opioids, criteria for use.

Decision rationale: The request is for the use of a medication in the opioid class. The MTUS guidelines state that for ongoing treatment with a pharmaceutical in this class, certain requirements are necessary. This includes not only adequate pain control, but also functional improvement. Four domains have been proposed for management of patients on opioids. This includes pain relief, side effects, physical and psychosocial functioning, and the occurrence of any potentially aberrant drug-related behaviors. As part of the pain treatment agreement, it is advised that "Refills are limited, and will only occur at appointments." In this case, there is inadequate documentation of persistent functional improvement seen. "Functional improvement" means either a clinically significant improvement in activities of daily living or a reduction in work restrictions as measured during the history and physical exam, performed and documented as part of the evaluation and management visit and a reduction in the dependency on continued medical treatment. As such, the request is not medically necessary. All opioid medications should be titrated down slowly in order to prevent a significant withdrawal syndrome.

Soma 350mg #180: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Muscle relaxants (for pain).

Decision rationale: The request is for the use of a muscle relaxant to aid in pain relief. The MTUS guidelines state that the use of a medication in this class is indicated as a second-line option for short-term treatment of acute exacerbations of low back pain. Muscle relaxants may be effective in reducing pain and muscle tension, which can increase mobility. However, in most LBP cases, they show no benefit beyond NSAIDs in pain improvement. Efficacy appears to diminish over time, and prolonged use may lead to dependence. (Homik, 2004) In this case, the

use of a muscle relaxant is not guideline-supported. This is secondary to poor effectiveness for chronic long-term use. As such, the request is not medically necessary.

Valium 5mg #60: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines, Pain Chapter, Diazepam (Valium).

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Benzodiazepines.

Decision rationale: The request is for the use of a medication in the category of benzodiazepines. It is usually indicated to treat anxiety disorders but has been used short-term as a muscle relaxant. The MTUS guidelines state the following: Not recommended for long-term use because long-term efficacy is unproven and there is a risk of dependence. Most guidelines limit use to 4 weeks. Their range of action includes benzodiazepines are the treatment of choice in very few conditions. Tolerance to hypnotic effects develops rapidly. Tolerance to anxiolytic effects occurs within months and long-term use may actually increase anxiety. A more appropriate treatment for anxiety disorder is an antidepressant. Tolerance to anticonvulsant and muscle relaxant effects occurs within weeks. (Baillargeon, 2003) (Ashton, 2005) In this case, a medication in this class would not be advised for continued use due to the duration of therapy. As such, the request is not medically necessary. All benzodiazepine medications should be titrated down slowly to prevent an acute withdrawal syndrome.