

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM15-0172435		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	09/15/2015	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	04/10/2015
<b>Decision Date:</b>	11/06/2015	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	08/26/2015
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	09/01/2015

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:  
 State(s) of Licensure: California, Indiana, Oregon  
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Orthopedic Surgery

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 54 year old female, who sustained an industrial injury on 4-10-2015. The medical records submitted for this review did not include documentation regarding the initial injury. Diagnoses include left shoulder impingement syndrome, status post left wrist open reduction internal fixation (ORIF). Treatments to date include anti-inflammatory medication and physical therapy. Currently, she undergoing physical therapy for the left wrist with improvement in the range of motion noted. On 8-12-15, the physical examination documented a positive Neer's sign in the left shoulder. A left shoulder MRI was noted to reveal rotator cuff tendinitis. The plan of care included left shoulder surgery. The appeal requested authorization for a left shoulder arthroscopy, Pre-operative clearance, HNP, Pre-operative labs, Chemistry Panel, CBC, PTT, INR, urinalysis, Electrocardiogram (EKG) and a chest x-ray. The Utilization Review dated 8-26-15, denied the request indicating the medical records failed to document conservative measures or evidence of a rotator cuff tear per California MTUS Guidelines, ACOEM Guidelines, and ODG Guidelines.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**Left shoulder arthroscopy:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Shoulder Complaints 2004.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) shoulder.

**Decision rationale:** According to the CA MTUS/ACOEM Shoulder Chapter, page 209-210, surgical considerations for the shoulder include failure of four months of activity modification and existence of a surgical lesion. The ODG shoulder section, acromioplasty surgery recommends 3-6 months of conservative care plus a painful arc of motion from 90-130 degrees. In addition night pain and weak or absent abduction must be present. There must be tenderness over the rotator cuff or anterior acromial area and positive impingement signs with temporary relief from anesthetic injection. In this case the records do not demonstrate evidence satisfying the above criteria notably the relief with anesthetic injection. Therefore, the request does not adhere to guideline recommendations and is not medically necessary.

**Pre-Op clearance:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG).

**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) shoulder.

**Decision rationale:** As the requested surgical procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary and appropriate.

**Associated Service: HNP:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG).

**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) shoulder.

**Decision rationale:** As the requested surgical procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary and appropriate.

**Associated Service: Chest X-Ray:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) shoulder.

**Decision rationale:** As the requested surgical procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary and appropriate.

**Associated Service: Chemistry Panel:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG).

**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) shoulder.

**Decision rationale:** As the requested surgical procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary and appropriate.

**Associated Service: CBC:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG).

**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) shoulder.

**Decision rationale:** As the requested surgical procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary and appropriate.

**Associated Service: PTT:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG).

**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) shoulder.

**Decision rationale:** As the requested surgical procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary and appropriate.

**Associated Service: INR:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG).

**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) shoulder.

**Decision rationale:** As the requested surgical procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary and appropriate.

**Associated Service: Urine Drug Screen: Upheld**

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG).

**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) shoulder.

**Decision rationale:** As the requested surgical procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary and appropriate.