

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM15-0147555		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	09/04/2015	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	10/23/2008
<b>Decision Date:</b>	10/16/2015	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	06/30/2015
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	07/29/2015

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:  
 State(s) of Licensure: New York  
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Anesthesiology

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 51 year old, female who sustained a work related injury on 10-23-08. The diagnoses have included cervical spine strain-sprain, cervical spine myospasm and lumbar spine strain-sprain. Treatments have included oral medications, topical medicated cream, Terocin patches, home exercises, aqua therapy, TENS unit therapy, steroid injections into right shoulder, right shoulder surgery, and physical therapy. In the PR-2 dated 6-16-15, the injured worker reports constant neck pain. She is having an increase in headaches. She has constant lower back pain. She has decreased hamstrings, right greater than left. On physical exam, lumbar spine range of motion with flexion is 60 degrees, extension is 25 degrees, left lateral bending is 25 degrees and right lateral bending is 25 degrees. She has an antalgic gait. There is no documentation of working status. The treatment plan includes follow-ups with orthopedic and pain management physicians, a urine toxicology test and refill of medications.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**Celebrex 200 mg Qty 60:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): NSAIDs (non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs). Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) NSAIDs.

**Decision rationale:** Celebrex (Celecoxib) is a nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID) that is a COX-2 selective inhibitor, a drug that directly targets COX-2, an enzyme responsible for inflammation and pain. Unlike other NSAIDs, Celebrex does not appear to interfere with the antiplatelet activity of aspirin and is bleeding neutral when patients are being considered for surgical intervention or interventional pain procedures. Celebrex may be considered if the patient has a risk of GI complications, but not for the majority of patients. Generic NSAIDs and COX-2 inhibitors have similar efficacy and risks when used for less than 3 months. In this case, the injured worker has been taking this medication for a minimum of 2 months. There is no documentation of the medication's pain relief effectiveness or functional improvement, as compared to functionality using a non-prescription anti-inflammatory medication. The medical necessity of the requested medication has not been established. The requested medication is not medically necessary.

**Omeprazole 20 mg Qty 90:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): NSAIDs, GI symptoms & cardiovascular risk. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) PPIs.

**Decision rationale:** According to the California MTUS (2009), Omeprazole (Prilosec), is proton pump inhibitor (PPI) that is recommended for patients taking NSAIDs, with documented GI distress symptoms, or at risk for gastrointestinal events. GI risk factors include: age >65, history of peptic ulcer, GI bleeding, or perforation; concurrent use of aspirin, corticosteroids, and/or anticoagulants, or high dose/multiple NSAIDs. PPIs are highly effective for their approved indications, including preventing gastric ulcers induced by NSAIDs. In this case, the injured worker has been taking this medication for a minimum of 8 months. There is no documentation indicating that this patient has had any GI symptoms or risk factors. Based on the available information provided for review, the patient has not been maintained on NSAIDs. The medical necessity for Omeprazole has not been established. The requested medication is not medically necessary.

**Tramadol 100 mg ER (extended release) Qty 60:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Opioids for chronic pain.

**Decision rationale:** According to the California MTUS, Tramadol (Ultram) is a synthetic opioid which affects the central nervous system and is indicated for the treatment of moderate to severe pain. Per CA MTUS Guidelines, certain criteria need to be followed, including an ongoing review and documentation of pain relief and functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects. Pain assessment should include current pain: last reported pain over the period since last assessment; average pain; intensity of pain after taking the opioid, and the duration of pain relief. In this case, there is insufficient evidence that the opioids were prescribed according to the CA MTUS guidelines, which recommend prescribing according to function, with specific functional goals, return to work, random drug testing, an opioid contract, and documentation of a prior failure of non-opioid therapy. In addition, the MTUS recommends urine drug screens for patients with poor pain control and to help manage patients at risk of abuse. In this case, the injured worker has been on this medication for a minimum of 8 months. Documentation does not include a toxicology screen as recommended by the guidelines. There is insufficient documentation of functional capabilities. Since pain levels have not significantly decreased, there is not much change in overall pain and there is insufficient documentation of improvement in functional capabilities, the requested treatment of Tramadol ER is not medically necessary.

**Baclofen 10 mg Qty 60:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Muscle relaxants (for pain).

**Decision rationale:** The California MTUS Guidelines and the ODG recommends non-sedating muscle relaxants, such as Baclofen, with caution as a second-line option for short-term treatment of acute low back pain (LBP), and for short-term (<2 weeks) treatment of acute exacerbations in patients with chronic LBP. The mechanism of action is blockade of the pre- and post-synaptic GABA receptors. It is recommended orally for the treatment of spasticity and muscle spasm related to multiple sclerosis and spinal cord injuries. It is also a first-line option for the treatment of dystonia. In this case, the patient has been maintained on Baclofen since February 2013 without any documented functional improvement. Medical necessity for the requested muscle relaxant has not been established. The requested medication is not medically necessary.