

Case Number:	CM15-0129492		
Date Assigned:	07/14/2015	Date of Injury:	10/06/2013
Decision Date:	08/10/2015	UR Denial Date:	06/17/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	07/06/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: New Jersey, Alabama, California
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Neurology, Neuromuscular Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 50 year old male, who sustained an industrial injury on October 6, 2013. He reported injuries to his neck and lower back. Treatment to date has included MRI of the lumbar spine, MRI of the cervical spine, TENS unit, chiropractic therapy, epidural steroid injection, physical therapy, acupuncture and pain medications. On December 30, 2014 the injured worker underwent lumbar fusion at L4-L5 and L5-S1. Currently, the injured worker complains of continued low back pain. He describes his pain as constant, dull, sore, aching, radiation, penetrating, shooting, tender, numb, stabbing, sharp, itchy, stinging, intense and unbearable. He reports associated numbness, tingling, weakness, and bowel and bladder problems. His pain is aggravated with activity and relieved with bed rest and massage. He reports no change in his pain. He reports that he is receiving 50% pain relief from physical therapy and he uses Percocet, Flexeril, and Neurontin for pain management. On physical examination the injured worker has a slow-stepped gait. The diagnoses associated with the request include degeneration of the lumbar disc, lumbar radiculitis and radiculopathy, and lumbar spine spinal stenosis. The treatment plan includes continuation of Percocet, Neurontin and Flexeril.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Percocet 10/325mg #180, 1-2 tablets every 4-6 hours: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Page(s): 78.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Criteria for use of opioids Page(s): 76-79.

Decision rationale: According to MTUS guidelines, ongoing use of opioids should follow specific rules: (a) Prescriptions from a single practitioner taken as directed, and all prescriptions from a single pharmacy. (b) The lowest possible dose should be prescribed to improve pain and function. (c) Office: Ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects. Pain assessment should include: current pain; the least reported pain over the period since last assessment; average pain; intensity of pain after taking the opioid; how long it takes for pain relief; and how long pain relief lasts. Satisfactory response to treatment may be indicated by the patient's decreased pain, increased level of function, or improved quality of life. Information from family members or other caregivers should be considered in determining the patient's response to treatment. The 4 A's for Ongoing Monitoring: Four domains have been proposed as most relevant for ongoing monitoring of chronic pain patients on opioids: pain relief, side effects, physical and psychosocial functioning, and the occurrence of any potentially aberrant (or non adherent) drug-related behaviors. These domains have been summarized as the "4 A's" (analgesia, activities of daily living, adverse side effects, and aberrant drug taking behaviors). The monitoring of these outcomes over time should affect therapeutic decisions and provide a framework. The patient have been using opioids for long period of time without recent documentation of full control of pain and without any documentation of functional or quality of life improvement. There is no clear documentation of patient improvement in level of function, quality of life, adequate follow up for absence of side effects and aberrant behavior with a previous use of narcotics. Therefore, the prescription of Percocet 10/325mg #180, 1-2 tablets every 4-6 hours is not medically necessary.