

Case Number:	CM15-0127924		
Date Assigned:	07/20/2015	Date of Injury:	05/31/2007
Decision Date:	08/14/2015	UR Denial Date:	06/19/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	07/02/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: California, Indiana, Oregon
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Orthopedic Surgery

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 60 year old female, who sustained an industrial injury on May 31, 2007. She reported pain and swelling in her left knee. Treatment to date has included cane, MRI, x-ray, CT scan, surgery, physical therapy, psychological evaluation, urine drug screen and medication. Currently, the injured worker complains of left knee and low back pain. She reports difficulty ambulating due to knee pain and she experiences knee pain when she is sedentary. She reports decreased range of motion in her lower back and left knee. The injured worker is diagnosed with osteoarthritis unspecified whether generalized or localized involving the lower leg, disc degeneration of lumbar spine, left knee meniscus tear and lumbar strain. Her work status is return to work with modification however; the injured worker is not working. A note dated December 3, 2012 states the injured worker continued to experience mild pain after completing physical therapy. The following, left total knee replacement, assistant (associated surgical services), consultation cardiac clearance (associated surgical services), pre-op EKG, pre-op cardiac stress test (the injured worker is obese), Norco 5-325 mg #100 post-op medication (manage pain), Lovenox 30 mg #20 post op medication (prevent blood clots) and pre-op labs CBC, BMA, UA are requested to alleviate the injured workers continued left knee pain.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Left total knee replacement: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG), 13th edition (web), 2015, Knee and Leg Chapter, Knee Joint Replacement.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) knee.

Decision rationale: CA MTUS/ACOEM is silent on the issue of total knee replacement. According to the Official Disability Guidelines regarding knee arthroplasty: criteria for knee joint replacement which includes conservative care with subjective findings including limited range of motion less than 90 degrees. In addition, the patient should have a BMI of less than 35 and be older than 50 years of age. There must also be findings on standing radiographs of significant loss of chondral clear space. In this case, BMI is 45. The request is not in keeping with guidelines and is not medically necessary.

Associated surgical services: Assistant: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) knee.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Associated surgical services: Consultation-Cardiac Clearance: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) knee.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Pre-op EKG: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) knee.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Pre-op Stress test, cardiac: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) knee.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Norco 5/325mg, #100, Post-op med: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) knee.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Lovenox 30mg, #20, Post-op med: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) knee.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Pre-op Labs CBC, BMA, UA: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) knee.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.