

Case Number:	CM15-0127755		
Date Assigned:	07/14/2015	Date of Injury:	12/10/1993
Decision Date:	08/19/2015	UR Denial Date:	06/10/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	07/01/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Iowa, Illinois, Hawaii

Certification(s)/Specialty: Preventive Medicine, Occupational Medicine, Public Health & General Preventive Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 52-year-old male who sustained an industrial injury on 12/10/93. Initial complaints and diagnoses are not available. Treatments to date include medications, intrathecal pain pump, nerve blocks/injections, epidural steroids, chiropractic treatments, back surgery, physical therapy, TENS unit, and psychiatrist/psychologist services. Diagnostic studies include x-rays, MRIs, CT, myelogram, and electrodiagnostic studies. Current complaints include continued lower back lower extremity pain. Current diagnoses include failed back surgery syndrome, lumbar radiculopathy, hypogonadism, and lumbosacral sprain/strain. In a progress note dated 05/21/15 the treating provider reports the plan of care as continued Senokot, Norco, Percocet, and Androgel pump, a urine drug screen, as well as home exercise program, moist heat, and stretching. The requested treatments include Norco and Percocet, as well as a toxicology screen. The documentation supports that the injured worker has been on Norco and Percocet since at least 01/22/15.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Norco 10/325mg #150: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids for chronic pain.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids Page(s): 74-96. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Low Back - Lumbar & Thoracic (Acute & Chronic), Opioids, Pain.

Decision rationale: ODG does not recommend the use of opioids for low back pain "except for short use for severe cases, not to exceed 2 weeks." The patient has exceeded the 2 week recommended treatment length for opioid usage. MTUS does not discourage use of opioids past 2 weeks, but does state that "ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects. Pain assessment should include: current pain; the least reported pain over the period since last assessment; average pain; intensity of pain after taking the opioid; how long it takes for pain relief; and how long pain relief lasts. Satisfactory response to treatment may be indicated by the patient's decreased pain, increased level of function, or improved quality of life." The treating physician does not fully document the least reported pain over the period since last assessment, intensity of pain after taking opioid, pain relief, increased level of function, or improved quality of life. As such, the request for Norco 10/325mg #150 is not medically necessary.

Percocet 10/325mg #30: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids for chronic pain.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids Page(s): 74-96. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Low Back - Lumbar & Thoracic (Acute & Chronic), Opioids.

Decision rationale: Percocet (oxycodone with acetaminophen) is a short-acting opioid. Chronic pain guidelines and ODG do not recommend opioid "except for short use for severe cases, not to exceed 2 weeks" and "Routine long-term opioid therapy is not recommended, and ODG recommends consideration of a one-month limit on opioids for new chronic non-malignant pain patients in most cases, as there is little research to support use. The research available does not support overall general effectiveness and indicates numerous adverse effects with long-term use. The latter includes the risk of ongoing psychological dependence with difficulty weaning." Medical documents indicate that the patient has been on Percocet for several months, in excess of the recommended 2-week limit. Additionally, indications for when opioids should be discontinued include "If there is no overall improvement in function, unless there are extenuating circumstances". The treating physician does not fully document the least reported pain over the period since last assessment, intensity of pain after taking opioid, pain relief, increased level of function, or improved quality of life. As such, the request for Percocet 10/325mg #30 is not medically necessary.

Tox screen: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Drug testing.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids and Substance abuse Page(s): 74-96;108-109. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation University of Michigan Health System Guidelines for Clinical Care: Managing Chronic Non-terminal Pain, Including Prescribing Controlled Substances (May 2009), pg 32 Established Patients Using a Controlled Substance.

Decision rationale: MTUS states that use of urine drug screening for illegal drugs should be considered before therapeutic trial of opioids are initiated. Additionally, Use of drug screening or inpatient treatment with issues of abuse, addiction, or poor pain control. Documentation of misuse of medications (doctor-shopping, uncontrolled drug escalation, drug diversion) would indicate need for urine drug screening. There is insufficient documentation provided to suggest issues of abuse, addiction, or poor pain control by the treating physician. University of Michigan Health System Guidelines for Clinical Care: Managing Chronic Non-terminal Pain, Including Prescribing Controlled Substances (May 2009) recommends for stable patients without red flags "twice yearly urine drug screening for all chronic non-malignant pain patients receiving opioids once during January-June and another July-December". The patient has been on chronic opioid therapy. The treating physician has not indicated why a urine drug screen is necessary at this time and has provided no evidence of red flags. As such, the request for Tox screen is not medically necessary.