

Case Number:	CM15-0127411		
Date Assigned:	07/14/2015	Date of Injury:	04/27/2004
Decision Date:	08/10/2015	UR Denial Date:	06/03/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	07/01/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: California
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 58 year old male who sustained an industrial injury on April 27, 2004. He has reported low back pain radiating down the leg to his ankle and has been diagnosed with chronic low back pain, lumbar post laminectomy syndrome, lumbar radiculopathy, lumbar spinal stenosis, and lumbar degenerative disc disease. Treatment has included physical therapy, surgery, medications, chiropractic care, a home exercise program, and TENS. Objective findings note the injured worker to be in mild to moderate discomfort. There was mild tenderness to the lumbar paraspinals with spasms. Lumbar spine range of motion was limited at ends of range in flexion and extension. There was a positive straight leg raise on the left. The treatment request included Norco and a TENS unit.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Norco 10/325 mg, ninety count: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids, page(s) 74-96.

Decision rationale: MTUS Guidelines cite opioid use in the setting of chronic, non-malignant, or neuropathic pain is controversial. Patients on opioids should be routinely monitored for signs of impairment and use of opioids in patients with chronic pain should be reserved for those with improved functional outcomes attributable to their use, in the context of an overall approach to pain management that also includes non-opioid analgesics, adjuvant therapies, psychological support, and active treatments (e.g., exercise). Submitted documents show no evidence that the treating physician is prescribing opioids in accordance to change in pain relief, functional goals with demonstrated improvement in daily activities, decreased in medical utilization or change in functional status. There is no evidence presented of random drug testing results or utilization of pain contract to adequately monitor for narcotic safety, efficacy, and compliance. The MTUS provides requirements of the treating physician to assess and document for functional improvement with treatment intervention and maintenance of function that would otherwise deteriorate if not supported. From the submitted reports, there is no demonstrated evidence of specific functional benefit derived from the continuing use of opioids with persistent severe pain for this chronic injury of 2004 without acute flare, new injury, or progressive deterioration. The Norco 10/325 mg, ninety count is not medically necessary and appropriate.

One TENS unit: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Transcutaneous Electrotherapy, TENS for chronic pain, pages 114-117.

Decision rationale: Per MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines, ongoing treatment is not advisable if there are no signs of objective progress and functional restoration has not been demonstrated. Specified criteria for the use of TENS Unit include trial in adjunction to ongoing treatment modalities within the functional restoration approach as appropriate for documented chronic intractable pain of at least three months duration with failed evidence of other appropriate pain modalities tried such as medication. From the submitted reports, the patient has chronic condition and has received extensive conservative medical treatment to include chronic analgesics and other medication, extensive therapy, activity modifications, and previous TENS trial yet the patient has remained symptomatic and functionally impaired. There is no documentation on how or what TENS unit is requested, nor is there any documented short-term or long-term goals of treatment with the TENS unit. Although it appears the patient has utilized the TENS unit prior, there is no evidence for change in functional status, increased in ADLs, decreased VAS score, medication usage, or treatment utilization from the TENS treatment already rendered for this 2004 chronic injury. The One TENS unit is not medically necessary and appropriate.