

Case Number:	CM15-0127369		
Date Assigned:	07/14/2015	Date of Injury:	10/22/2012
Decision Date:	08/07/2015	UR Denial Date:	06/03/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	07/01/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This is a 47 year old male with an October 22, 2012 date of injury. A progress note dated March 30, 2015 documents subjective complaints (increasing pain in the left neck down into the arm), objective findings (Spurling's maneuver causes radicular symptoms and muscle tone of the trapezius is increased and there is palpable tenderness; decreased range of motion of the left shoulder), and current diagnoses (pain of the shoulder region; super labral tear; adhesive capsulitis of the shoulder). Treatments to date have included medications and exercise. The treating physician documented a plan of care that included Voltaren gel.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Voltaren 1% gel 4 grams Qty:5: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical Analgesics.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical Analgesics, pages 111-113.

Decision rationale: Per Guidelines, Voltaren Topical Gel may be recommended as an option in the treatment of osteoarthritis of the joints for the acute first few weeks; however, not for labral tear or capsulitis and is not recommended for long-term use beyond the initial few weeks of treatment for this chronic injury of 2012. Submitted reports show no significant documented pain relief or functional improvement from treatment already rendered from this topical NSAID. These medications may be useful for chronic musculoskeletal pain, but there are no long-term studies of their effectiveness or safety. There is little evidence to utilize topical analgesic over oral NSAIDs or other pain relievers for a patient without contraindication in taking oral medications. Recent report noted chronic pain symptoms with unchanged activity level. Clinical exam is without acute changes or report of flare-up for this chronic injury. The Voltaren 1% gel 4 grams Qty: 5 is not medically necessary and appropriate.