

Case Number:	CM15-0126763		
Date Assigned:	07/13/2015	Date of Injury:	09/07/2012
Decision Date:	08/07/2015	UR Denial Date:	06/24/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	06/30/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Iowa, Illinois, Hawaii

Certification(s)/Specialty: Preventive Medicine, Occupational Medicine, Public Health & General Preventive Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 49year old female, who sustained an industrial injury on 9/7/12. The injured worker has complaints of low back pain. The documentation noted posterior lumbar tenderness and limitation of lumbar spine motion. The diagnoses have included degenerative disc disease, lumbar; low back pain with sciatica, unspecified laterality, sciatica laterality unspecified and left lumbar radiculopathy. Treatment to date has included electromyography /nerve conduction study showed nerve damage; rhizotomies; oxycodone; tylenol extra strength and flexeril. The request was for spine surgery re-evaluation, orthopedic surgeon; oxycontin 40 mg quantity unspecified and oxycontin 10 mg quantity unspecified.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Spine surgery re-evaluation, orthopedic surgeon: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints Page(s): 296. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Low Back, Office Visit.

Decision rationale: ODG states concerning office visits "Recommended as determined to be medically necessary. Evaluation and management (E&M) outpatient visits to the offices of medical doctor(s) play a critical role in the proper diagnosis and return to function of an injured worker, and they should be encouraged. The need for a clinical office visit with a health care provider is individualized based upon a review of the patient concerns, signs and symptoms, clinical stability, and reasonable physician judgment. The determination is also based on what medications the patient is taking, since some medicines such as opiates, or medicines such as certain antibiotics, require close monitoring. As patient conditions are extremely varied, a set number of office visits per condition cannot be reasonably established. The determination of necessity for an office visit requires individualized case review and assessment, being ever mindful that the best patient outcomes are achieved with eventual patient independence from the health care system through self-care as soon as clinically feasible." ACOEM additionally states concerning low back complaints: "Assessing Red Flags and Indications for Immediate Referral Physical-examination evidence of severe neurologic compromise that correlates with the medical history and test results may indicate a need for immediate consultation. The examination may further reinforce or reduce suspicions of tumor, infection, fracture, or dislocation. A history of tumor, infection, abdominal aneurysm, or other related serious conditions, together with positive findings on examination, warrants further investigation or referral. A medical history that suggests pathology originating somewhere other than in the lumbosacral area may warrant examination of the knee, hip, abdomen, pelvis or other areas." Medical records do not indicate any red flags for immediate referral. The subjective and objective complaints have also changed minimally over the last year and the treating physician does not detail well why the consultation request. As such, the request for Spine surgery re-evaluation, orthopedic surgeon is not medically necessary at this time.

Oxycontin 40 mg Qty unspecified: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines: Morphine Equivalent Dose (MED) Opioid Dosing Calculator.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids Page(s): 74-96. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Low Back - Lumbar & Thoracic (Acute & Chronic) and Pain, Opioids.

Decision rationale: Oxycodone is the generic version of Oxycotin, which is a pure opioid agonist. ODG does not recommend the use of opioids for low back pain "except for short use for severe cases, not to exceed 2 weeks." The patient has exceeded the 2 week recommended treatment length for opioid usage. MTUS does not discourage use of opioids past 2 weeks, but does state that "ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects. Pain assessment should include: current pain; the least reported pain over the period since last assessment; average pain; intensity of pain after taking the opioid;

how long it takes for pain relief; and how long pain relief lasts. Satisfactory response to treatment may be indicated by the patient's decreased pain, increased level of function, or improved quality of life." The treating physician does not fully document the least reported pain over the period since last assessment, intensity of pain after taking opioid, pain relief, increased level of function, or improved quality of life. MTUS further recommends opioid dosing not to exceed 120mg oral morphine equivalent per day cumulatively for all different opioids used. The morphine equivalent per day based on the progress notes appears to be at least 225, which far exceeds MTUS recommendations. As such the request for Oxycotin 40 mg Qty unspecified is not medically necessary.

Oxycodone 10 mg Qty unspecified: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines: Morphine Equivalent Dose (MED) Opioid Dosing Calculator.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids Page(s): 74-96. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Low Back - Lumbar & Thoracic (Acute & Chronic) and Pain, Opioids.

Decision rationale: Oxycodone is the generic version of Oxycotin, which is a pure opioid agonist. ODG does not recommend the use of opioids for low back pain "except for short use for severe cases, not to exceed 2 weeks." The patient has exceeded the 2 week recommended treatment length for opioid usage. MTUS does not discourage use of opioids past 2 weeks, but does state that "ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects. Pain assessment should include: current pain; the least reported pain over the period since last assessment; average pain; intensity of pain after taking the opioid; how long it takes for pain relief; and how long pain relief lasts. Satisfactory response to treatment may be indicated by the patient's decreased pain, increased level of function, or improved quality of life." The treating physician does not fully document the least reported pain over the period since last assessment, intensity of pain after taking opioid, pain relief, increased level of function, or improved quality of life. MTUS further recommends opioid dosing not to exceed 120mg oral morphine equivalent per day cumulatively for all different opioids used. The morphine equivalent per day based on the progress notes appears to be at least 225, which far exceeds MTUS recommendations. As such, the request for Oxycodone 10 mg Qty unspecified is not medically necessary.