

Case Number:	CM15-0126562		
Date Assigned:	07/13/2015	Date of Injury:	05/11/2009
Decision Date:	08/06/2015	UR Denial Date:	06/11/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	06/30/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 50 year old male, who sustained an industrial injury on 5/11/2009. He reported low back pain. Diagnoses have included lumbar herniated nucleus pulposus (HNP) and lumbar stenosis. Treatment to date has included physical therapy, injections, and medication. The patient is s/p previous lumbar laminectomy at L3-4, L4-5. According to the progress report dated 5/26/2015, the injured worker complained of low back pain with right lower extremity pain and weakness. Objective findings revealed decreased sensation L5 nerve root and positive lumbar spasms. Straight leg raise test was positive. Authorization was requested for lumbar epidural steroid injection.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Lumbar Epidural Steroid Injection: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Epidural Steroid Injections.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Epidural Steroid injections, page 46.

Decision rationale: MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines recommend ESI as an option for treatment of radicular pain (defined as pain in dermatomal distribution with corroborative findings of radiculopathy); however, radiculopathy must be documented on physical examination and corroborated by imaging studies and/or Electrodiagnostic testing, not provided here. Submitted reports have not demonstrated any correlating neurological deficits or remarkable diagnostics to support repeating the epidural injections. Although the provider reported 50% improvement post previous injections, the patient continues with unchanged symptom severity, unchanged clinical findings without decreased in medication profile, treatment utilization or functional improvement described in terms of increased rehabilitation status or activities of daily living for this chronic injury without evidence of functional improvement from previous LESI. Criteria for the epidurals have not been met or established. The Lumbar Epidural Steroid Injection is not medically necessary or appropriate.