

Case Number:	CM15-0125989		
Date Assigned:	07/10/2015	Date of Injury:	08/16/2011
Decision Date:	09/14/2015	UR Denial Date:	05/26/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	06/30/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: California
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Orthopedic Surgery

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 28 year old male who sustained an industrial injury on August 16, 2011. He has reported low back pain and has been diagnosed with status post lumbar decompression L4-5 for stenosis, continued stenosis at L3-4 and L5-S1, untreated, and deterioration of the lumbar spine. Treatment has included medications and surgery. Exam note from 4/3/15 demonstrates the injured worker had no new motor or sensory deficits. There was 20 degrees of flexion, extension, and right/left lateral rotation and bending. This being decreased range of motion. The treatment request included lumbar spine surgery-decompression and fusion in a laminar type, epidural steroid injection L3-4 bilateral sides, cold unit lumbar spine rental, and lumbar back brace.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Lumbar spine surgery-decompression and fusion in a laminar type: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints Page(s): 307. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Low back, fusion.

Decision rationale: The ACOEM Guidelines Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints page 307 states that lumbar fusion, except for cases of trauma-related spinal fracture or dislocation, fusion of the spine is not usually considered during the first three months of symptoms. Patients with increased spinal instability (not work-related) after surgical decompression at the level of degenerative spondylolisthesis may be candidates for fusion. According to the ODG, Low back, Fusion (spinal) should be considered for 6 months of symptom. Indications for fusion include neural arch defect, segmental instability with movement of more than 4.5 mm, revision surgery where functional gains are anticipated, infection, tumor, deformity and after a third disc herniation. In addition, ODG states, there is a lack of support for fusion for mechanical low back pain for subjects with failure to participate effectively in active rehab pre-op, total disability over 6 months, active psych diagnosis, and narcotic dependence. In this particular patient there is lack of medical necessity for lumbar fusion as there is no evidence of segmental instability greater than 4.5 mm, severe stenosis or psychiatric clearance from the exam note of 4/3/15 to warrant fusion. Therefore the determination is not medically necessary for lumbar fusion.

Associated surgical service: Epidural steroid injection L3-L4 bilateral sides: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Associated surgical service: Cold unit lumbar spine rental 7 days: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Associated surgical service: Lumbar back brace: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.