

Case Number:	CM15-0125723		
Date Assigned:	07/10/2015	Date of Injury:	03/05/2014
Decision Date:	09/24/2015	UR Denial Date:	06/09/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	06/29/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: North Carolina

Certification(s)/Specialty: Family Practice

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker (IW) is a 28-year-old male who sustained an industrial injury on 03/05/2014. He reported a fall in which he injured his shoulder. The injured worker was diagnosed as having right shoulder impingement syndrome, anterior labrum tear of the right shoulder as well as possible tear of the supraspinatus and infraspinatus tendon, Left shoulder supraspinatus and infraspinatus tendinosis with subacromial bursitis, and right elbow lateral epicondylitis. Treatment to date has included oral, transdermal, and topical medications, a transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) unit, a hot/cold unit, a pulley system, an ultrasound unit, shockwave therapy, medications, and steroid injections. Currently, the injured worker complains of pain in the right shoulder and the right elbow. On exam, he has decreased range of motion in all planes bilaterally. There is positive impingement sign of the right shoulder. Range of motion of the right shoulder is diminished in all planes, and there is tenderness on palpation. The left elbow has normal range of motion. The treatment plan is for continuation of physical therapy, acupuncture and chiropractic care. The worker is recommended to see an orthopedist steroid injection of the right shoulder, to have a sleep study evaluation, to obtain functional capacity evaluation, a gait analysis and a general surgeon in relation to the left sided-inguinal hernia. The worker is to continue topical and oral medications, and be monitored with urine toxicology screen. A request for authorization was submitted for a urine toxicology screen for pharmacy compliance management.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Urine toxicology screen for pharmacy compliance management: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Drug testing Page(s): 43.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines opioids Page(s): 76-84.

Decision rationale: The California chronic pain medical treatment guidelines section on opioids states: On-Going Management. Actions Should Include: (a) Prescriptions from a single practitioner taken as directed, and all prescriptions from a single pharmacy. (b) The lowest possible dose should be prescribed to improve pain and function. (c) Office: Ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects. Pain assessment should include: current pain; the least reported pain over the period since last assessment; average pain; intensity of pain after taking the opioid; how long it takes for pain relief; and how long pain relief lasts. Satisfactory response to treatment may be indicated by the patient's decreased pain, increased level of function, or improved quality of life. Information from family members or other caregivers should be considered in determining the patient's response to treatment. The 4 A's for Ongoing Monitoring: Four domains have been proposed as most relevant for ongoing monitoring of chronic pain patients on opioids: pain relief, side effects, physical and psychosocial functioning, and the occurrence of any potentially aberrant (or non-adherent) drug-related behaviors. These domains have been summarized as the "4 A's" (analgesia, activities of daily living, adverse side effects, and aberrant drug taking behaviors). The monitoring of these outcomes over time should affect therapeutic decisions and provide a framework for documentation of the clinical use of these controlled drugs. (Passik, 2000) (d) Home: To aid in pain and functioning assessment, the patient should be requested to keep a pain diary that includes entries such as pain triggers, and incidence of end-of-dose pain. It should be emphasized that using this diary will help in tailoring the opioid dose. This should not be a requirement for pain management. (e) Use of drug screening or inpatient treatment with issues of abuse, addiction, or poor pain control. (f) Documentation of misuse of medications (doctor-shopping, uncontrolled drug escalation, drug diversion). (g) Continuing review of overall situation with regard to non-opioid means of pain control. (h) Consideration of a consultation with a multidisciplinary pain clinic if doses of opioids are required beyond what is usually required for the condition or pain does not improve on opioids in 3 months. Consider a psych consult if there is evidence of depression, anxiety or irritability. Consider an addiction medicine consult if there is evidence of substance misuse. The California MTUS does recommend urine drug screens as part of the criteria for ongoing use of opioids. The patient was not on chronic opioids at the time of request or showing aberrant behavior and therefore the request is not medically necessary.