

Case Number:	CM15-0125643		
Date Assigned:	07/16/2015	Date of Injury:	02/16/2000
Decision Date:	08/18/2015	UR Denial Date:	06/11/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	06/29/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: New Jersey, Alabama, California
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Neurology, Neuromuscular Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 58 year old male who sustained an industrial injury to his neck on 02/16/2000 while unloading freight. The injured worker was diagnosed with Mononeuritis, lumbago and chronic pain syndrome. The injured worker is status post spinal surgery 2002 (no procedure documented) and a C2-3 laminectomy in 2009. Treatment to date has included diagnostic testing, surgery, acupuncture therapy, physical therapy, trial spinal cord stimulator (SCS) in 2003, transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TEN's) unit and medications. According to the primary treating physician's progress report on June 3, 2015, the injured worker continues to experience neck pain and radiating pain down both legs with bilateral foot pain. The injured worker rates his pain level at 5/10 with medications. There were no objective findings noted. The injured worker reports Norco is causing side effects and would like to go back on OxyContin. Current medications are listed as Norco 10/325mg and Hydrocodone 10/300mg. Treatment plan consists of starting a trial of Nucynta, spinal cord stimulator (SCS) trial, psychological evaluation and the current request for Nucynta 75mg.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Nucynta 75mg, #90: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids, Criteria for use of opioids. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines, Pain, Criteria for use of Opioids.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Criteria for use of opioids Page(s): 76-79.

Decision rationale: According to MTUS guidelines, ongoing use of opioids should follow specific rules: (a) Prescriptions from a single practitioner taken as directed, and all prescriptions from a single pharmacy. (b) The lowest possible dose should be prescribed to improve pain and function. (c) Office: Ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects. Pain assessment should include: current pain; the least reported pain over the period since last assessment; average pain; intensity of pain after taking the opioid; how long it takes for pain relief; and how long pain relief lasts. Satisfactory response to treatment may be indicated by the patient's decreased pain, increased level of function, or improved quality of life. Information from family members or other caregivers should be considered in determining the patient's response to treatment. The 4 A's for Ongoing Monitoring: Four domains have been proposed as most relevant for ongoing monitoring of chronic pain patients on opioids: pain relief, side effects, physical and psychosocial functioning, and the occurrence of any potentially aberrant (or non adherent) drug-related behaviors. These domains have been summarized as the "4 A's" (analgesia, activities of daily living, adverse side effects, and aberrant drug taking behaviors). The monitoring of these outcomes over time should affect therapeutic decisions and provide a framework. There is no clear evidence and documentation from the patient's file of a continuous need for Nucynta. There is no documentation of functional improvement with previous use of opioids. There is no documentation of compliance of the patient with his medications. Therefore, the prescription of Nucynta 75mg #90 is not medically necessary.