

Case Number:	CM15-0125450		
Date Assigned:	07/10/2015	Date of Injury:	02/01/2013
Decision Date:	08/12/2015	UR Denial Date:	06/06/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	06/29/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
State(s) of Licensure: California, Arizona, Maryland
Certification(s)/Specialty: Psychiatry

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 49 year old male, who sustained an industrial injury on February 1, 2013, incurring psychological symptoms after being assaulted. He was diagnosed with major depression and generalized anxiety, cervical spine sprain, thoracic spine strain, bilateral shoulder sprain, right wrist sprain, facial trauma and post-traumatic stress disorder. Treatment included surgical lumbar fusion, anti-depressants; anti-inflammatory drugs anxiety medications sleep aides, anti-inflammatory drugs and pain medications and work modifications and restrictions. Currently, the injured worker complained of persistent pain in the neck, lower back, right knee and bilateral hips. The treatment plan that was requested for authorization included prescriptions for Xanax and Lunesta.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Xanax 0.5mg #15 with 2 refills: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Page(s): 24.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Benzodiazepine, Weaning of medications Page(s): 24, 124.

Decision rationale: MTUS states "Benzodiazepines are not recommended for long-term use because long-term efficacy is unproven and there is a risk of dependence. Most guidelines limit use to 4 weeks. Their range of action includes sedative/hypnotic, anxiolytic, anti-convulsant, and muscle relaxant. Chronic benzodiazepines are the treatment of choice in very few conditions. Upon review of the Primary Treating Physicians' Progress Reports, the injured worker has been prescribed Xanax on an ongoing basis with no documented plan of taper. The MTUS guidelines state that the use of benzodiazepines should be limited to 4 weeks. Thus, the request for Xanax 0.5mg #15 with 2 refills is excessive and not medically necessary.

Lunesta 3mg #15 with 2 refills: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines, Mental Illness & Stress Chapter.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Chapter: Chronic Pain Topic: Insomnia Treatment.

Decision rationale: MTUS is silent regarding this issue. ODG states "Non-Benzodiazepine sedative-hypnotics (Benzodiazepine-receptor agonists) are First-line medications for insomnia. This class of medications includes zolpidem (Ambien and Ambien CR), zaleplon (Sonata), and eszopicolone (Lunesta). Benzodiazepine-receptor agonists work by selectively binding to type-1 benzodiazepine receptors in the CNS. All of the benzodiazepine-receptor agonists are schedule IV controlled substances, which mean they have potential for abuse and dependency. Eszopicolone (Lunesta) has demonstrated reduced sleep latency and sleep maintenance. (Morin, 2007) The only benzodiazepine-receptor agonist FDA approved for use longer than 35 days. A randomized, double blind, controlled clinical trial with 830 primary insomnia patients reported significant improvement in the treatment group when compared to the control group for sleep latency, wake after sleep onset, and total sleep time over a 6-month period. (Walsh, 2007) Side effects: dry mouth, unpleasant taste, drowsiness, dizziness. Sleep-related activities such as driving, eating, cooking and phone calling have occurred. Withdrawal may occur with abrupt discontinuation. Dosing: 1-2 mg for difficulty falling asleep; 2-3 mg for sleep maintenance. The drug has a rapid onset of action. (Ramakrishnan, 2007) It also states adding a prescription sleeping pill to cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) appeared to be the optimal initial treatment approach in patients with persistent insomnia, but after 6 weeks, tapering the medication and continuing with CBT alone produced the best long-term outcome. These results suggest that there is a modest short-term added value to starting therapy with CBT plus a medication, especially with respect to total sleep gained, but that this added value does not persist. In terms of first-line therapy, for acute insomnia lasting less than 6 months, medication is probably the best treatment approach, but for chronic insomnia, a combined approach might give the best of both worlds; however, after a few weeks, the recommendation is to discontinue the medication and continue with CBT. Prescribing medication indefinitely will not work. The authors said that

the conclusion that patients do better in the long term if medication is stopped after 6 weeks and only CBT is continued during an additional 6-month period is an important new finding. (Morin, 2009) According to the guidelines stated above, medications are not recommended for long term treatment of insomnia and also Lunesta has potential for abuse, dependency, withdrawal and tolerance. Thus, the request for a three month supply i.e. Lunesta 3mg #15 with 2 refills is excessive and not medically necessary.