

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM15-0125062		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	07/09/2015	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	03/09/2005
<b>Decision Date:</b>	08/18/2015	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	06/19/2015
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	06/29/2015

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:  
 State(s) of Licensure: Georgia  
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Anesthesiology, Pain Management

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 54-year-old male who sustained an industrial injury on 3/9/2005 resulting in severe radiating low back pain. He was diagnosed with lumbar radiculitis, lumbar post laminectomy syndrome and insomnia secondary to pain. Treatment has included laminectomy (details not provided in documentation); epidural injections which he has reported providing 50% pain improvement; morphine pump and oral pain medications with report of minimal improvement in pain reduction; sleep medication; and, psychotherapy. The injured worker continues to report severe back pain, radiating down the right extremity with numbness and tingling, and problems sleeping due to pain. The treating physician's plan of care includes Ambien 10mg #39, and Norco 10/325mg #180. He is not working.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**Ambien 10mg #30:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG), Pain (Chronic) - Zolpidem (Ambien).

**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Chronic Pain Chapter: Sleep Aid.

**Decision rationale:** Ambien 10 mg # 30 is not medically necessary. The ODG states that Ambien is not recommended for long term use, but recommended for short-term use. While sleeping pills, so called minor tranquilizers, and anti-anxiety agents are commonly prescribed in chronic pain, pain specialist rarely, if ever, recommend them for long-term use. They can be habit-forming and they may impair function and memory more than opioid pain relievers. There is also concern that they may increase pain and depression over long-term. Ambien is indicated for treatment of insomnia with difficulty of sleep onset and/or sleep maintenance. Longer-term studies have found Ambien to be effective for up to 24 weeks in adults. According to the medical records it is unclear how long the claimant was on the sleeping aid medication of this class. Additionally, there is no documentation of sleep disorder requiring this medication. It is more appropriate to set a weaning protocol at this point. Ambien 10 mg is not medically necessary.

**Norco 10/325mg #180:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids, criteria for use.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids Page(s): 79.

**Decision rationale:** Norco 10/325 mg #180 is not medically necessary. Per MTUS Page 79 of MTUS guidelines states that weaning of opioids are recommended if (a) there are no overall improvement in function, unless there are extenuating circumstances (b) continuing pain with evidence of intolerable adverse effects (c) decrease in functioning (d) resolution of pain (e) if serious non-adherence is occurring (f) the patient requests discontinuing. The claimant's medical records did not document that there was an overall improvement in function or a return to work with previous opioid therapy. The claimant has long-term use with this medication and there was a lack of improved function with this opioid; therefore, requested medication is not medically necessary.

**Ms Contin 15mg #120:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids, criteria for use.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids Page(s): 79.

**Decision rationale:** Ms Contin 15 mg #120 is not medically necessary. Per MTUS Page 79 of MTUS guidelines states that weaning of opioids are recommended if (a) there are no overall improvement in function, unless there are extenuating circumstances (b) continuing pain with evidence of intolerable adverse effects (c) decrease in functioning (d) resolution of pain (e) if

serious non-adherence is occurring (f) the patient requests discontinuing. The claimant's medical records did not document that there was an overall improvement in function or a return to work with previous opioid therapy. The claimant has long-term use with this medication and there was a lack of improved function with this opioid; therefore, requested medication is not medically necessary.

**Retrospective request: Morphine Sulfate 25mg/ml pump refill (DOS 6/12/15):** Overturned

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioid analgesic.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids Page(s): 79.

**Decision rationale:** Morphine Sulfate 25mg/ml pump refill (DOS 6/12/15) was medically necessary. Per MTUS Page 79 of MTUS guidelines states that weaning of opioids are recommended if (a) there are no overall improvement in function, unless there are extenuating circumstances (b) continuing pain with evidence of intolerable adverse effects (c) decrease in functioning (d) resolution of pain (e) if serious non-adherence is occurring (f) the patient requests discontinuing. The claimant's medical records did not document that there was an overall improvement in function or a return to work with previous opioid therapy. The claimant has long-term use with this medication and there was a lack of improved function with this opioid; however abrupt discontinuation of this medication may lead to adverse effects; therefore, this request is medically necessary.