

Case Number:	CM15-0124726		
Date Assigned:	08/12/2015	Date of Injury:	09/29/1997
Decision Date:	09/23/2015	UR Denial Date:	06/17/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	06/29/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Iowa, Illinois, Hawaii

Certification(s)/Specialty: Preventive Medicine, Occupational Medicine, Public Health & General Preventive Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a year 68-year-old, female who sustained a work related injury on 9-29-97. The diagnoses have included chronic low back pain and radiculitis. Treatments have included medications and lumbar epidural steroid injections. In the Outpatient Clinic Note dated 6-3-15, the injured worker reports chronic low back pain with intermittent pain radiating to right leg. She is taking Norco up to three times a day. With taking the pain medication, her pain level goes down from 7-9 out of 10 to about 3-4 out of 10, which allows her to continue to work. She is taking Cyclobenzaprine up to three times a day, Celebrex twice a day and Prevacid twice a day. She takes the Prevacid because the other medications taken cause an upset stomach. She is able to perform the duties of her job without aggravating issues. She has been stable on all medications taken. She is working. The treatment plan includes medications.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Flexeril 10mg #90 with 5 refills: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines muscle relaxants.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Cyclobenzaprine, Medications for chronic pain, Antispasmodics Page(s): 41-42, 60-61, 64-66. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Pain, Cyclobenzaprine (Flexeril®) and Other Medical Treatment Guidelines UpToDate, Flexeril.

Decision rationale: MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment states for Cyclobenzaprine, "Recommended as an option, using a short course of therapy. The effect is greatest in the first 4 days of treatment, suggesting that shorter courses may be better. (Browning, 2001) Treatment should be brief." "The medication is not recommended to be used for longer than 2-3 weeks." The medical documents indicate that patient is far in excess of the initial treatment window and period. Additionally, MTUS outlines, "Relief of pain with the use of medications is generally temporary, and measures of the lasting benefit from this modality should include evaluating the effect of pain relief in relationship to improvements in function and increased activity. Before prescribing any medication for pain, the following should occur: (1) determine the aim of use of the medication; (2) determine the potential benefits and adverse effects; (3) determine the patient's preference. Only one medication should be given at a time, and interventions that are active and passive should remain unchanged at the time of the medication change. A trial should be given for each individual medication. Analgesic medications should show effects within 1 to 3 days, and the analgesic effect of antidepressants should occur within 1 week. A record of pain and function with the medication should be recorded. (Mens, 2005)" Up-to-date "Flexeril" also recommends "Do not use longer than 2-3 weeks". Medical documents do not fully detail the components outlined in the guidelines above and do not establish the need for long term/chronic usage of Cyclobenzaprine. ODG states regarding Cyclobenzaprine, "Recommended as an option, using a short course of therapy. The addition of Cyclobenzaprine to other agents is not recommended." Several other pain medications are being requested, along with Cyclobenzaprine, which ODG recommends against. Additionally, the request is for 6 months, which is far in excess of guideline recommendations. As such, the request for Flexeril 10mg #90 with 5 refills is not medically necessary.

Celebrex 200mg #60 with 5 refills: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs).

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Anti-inflammatory medications, Celebrex, NSAIDs Page(s): 22, 30, 70. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Pain, NSAIDs, GI symptoms & cardiovascular risk.

Decision rationale: Anti-inflammatory medications are the traditional first line treatment for pain, but COX-2 inhibitors (Celebrex) should be considered if the patient has risk of GI complications, according to MTUS. The medical documentation provided does not indicate a reason for the patient to be considered high risk for GI complications. Risk factors for GI bleeding according to ODG include: (1) age > 65 years; (2) history of peptic ulcer, GI bleeding or perforation; (3) concurrent use of ASA, corticosteroids, and/or an anticoagulant; or (4) high

dose or multiple NSAID (e.g., NSAID + low-dose ASA). The treating physician's note suggests this patient has GI upset due to current medication regime. Due to reported side effects, this patient should be re-evaluated regularly for complications from medications; therefore, refills are not appropriate. The previous reviewer modified the request for a one-month supply. It As such, the request for 1 Celebrex 200mg #60 with 5 refills is not medically necessary.

Prevacid 30mg #60 with 5 refills: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Proton Pump Inhibitors (PPIs).

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines NSAIDs, GI symptoms & cardiovascular risk Page(s): 68-69. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Pain (Chronic), NSAIDs, GI symptoms & cardiovascular risk.

Decision rationale: MTUS states "Determine if the patient is at risk for gastrointestinal events: (1) age > 65 years; (2) history of peptic ulcer, GI bleeding or perforation; (3) concurrent use of ASA, corticosteroids, and/or an anticoagulant; or (4) high dose/multiple NSAID (e.g., NSAID + low-dose ASA)." And "Patients at intermediate risk for gastrointestinal events and no cardiovascular disease: (1) A non-selective NSAID with either a PPI (Proton Pump Inhibitor, for example, 20 mg omeprazole daily) or misoprostol (200g four times daily) or (2) a Cox-2 selective agent. Long-term PPI use (> 1 year) has been shown to increase the risk of hip fracture (adjusted odds ratio 1.44)." ODG states, "If a PPI is used, Omeprazole OTC tablets or lansoprazole 24 HR OTC are recommended for an equivalent clinical efficacy and significant cost savings. Products in this drug class have demonstrated equivalent clinical efficacy and safety at comparable doses, including esomeprazole (Nexium), lansoprazole (Prevacid), omeprazole (Prilosec), pantoprazole (Protonix), dexlansoprazole (Dexilant), and rabeprazole (Aciphex). (Shi, 2008) A trial of omeprazole or lansoprazole is recommended before Nexium therapy. The other PPIs, Protonix, Dexilant, and Aciphex, should also be second-line. According to the latest AHRQ Comparative Effectiveness Research, all of the commercially available PPIs appeared to be similarly effective. (AHRQ, 2011)" The medical documents provided do not establish the patient has having documented GI bleeding/perforation/peptic ulcer as outlined in MTUS. This patient does complain of GI upset due to current medication regime, however the previous reviewer modified the NSAID request to one month supply, therefore, the refills on Prevacid are not medically necessary. As such, the request for Prevacid 30mg #60 with 5 refills is not medically necessary.