

Case Number:	CM15-0123864		
Date Assigned:	07/08/2015	Date of Injury:	11/28/2009
Decision Date:	08/05/2015	UR Denial Date:	06/09/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	06/29/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
State(s) of Licensure: California
Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 77 year old female who sustained an industrial injury on November 28, 2009. She has reported low back pain, right leg pain, and right hip pain and has been diagnosed with right hip osteoarthritis, lumbar facet arthropathy, status post lumbar fusion, and osteoporosis. Treatment has included medications, acupuncture, and physical therapy. There was loss of lumbar lordosis. On palpation, there was tenderness in lumbar paraspinal more so on the right than the left. Range of motion was decreased. The treatment request included an MRI of the lumbar spine.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

MRI without contrast of the lumbar spine: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines, Low Back - Lumbar & Thoracic (Acute & chronic).

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Chapter 12- Low Back Complaints, Imaging, pages 303-304.

Decision rationale: ACOEM Treatment Guidelines for the Lower Back Disorders, under Special Studies and Diagnostic and Treatment Considerations, states Criteria for ordering imaging studies include Emergence of a red flag; Physiologic evidence of tissue insult or neurologic dysfunction; Failure to progress in a strengthening program intended to avoid surgery; Clarification of the anatomy prior to an invasive procedure, not demonstrated here. Physiologic evidence may be in the form of definitive neurologic findings on physical examination and electrodiagnostic studies. Unequivocal findings that identify specific nerve compromise on the neurologic examination are sufficient evidence to warrant imaging studies if symptoms persist; however, review of submitted medical reports for this chronic injury of 2009 have not adequately demonstrated the indication for repeating the MRI of the Lumbar spine without any specific changed clinical findings, neurological deficits of red-flag conditions, or progressive deterioration to support this imaging study. When the neurologic examination is less clear, further physiologic evidence of nerve dysfunction can be obtained before ordering an imaging study. The MRI without contrast of the lumbar spine is not medically necessary and appropriate.