

Case Number:	CM15-0123495		
Date Assigned:	07/15/2015	Date of Injury:	07/18/2013
Decision Date:	08/10/2015	UR Denial Date:	06/01/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	06/26/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: New Jersey, Alabama, California
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Neurology, Neuromuscular Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 46-year-old female who sustained an industrial injury on July 18, 2013 resulting in low back pain with impaired range of motion. She was diagnosed with lumbar degenerative joint disease, myofascial pain, radiculopathy, sacroiliac syndrome, and spondylosis without myelopathy. Treatment has included chiropractic treatments; medication; electric stimulation; physical therapy; acupuncture; exercises; heat; and, ultrasound, all of which she has reported to be ineffective in lasting symptom relief. She also has had epidural steroid injections, which she stated was not helpful and gave her migraine headaches. The injured worker continues to present with severe back pain and limited mobility. The treating physician's plan of care includes bilateral sacroiliac joint injection, and bilateral gluteal myofascial trigger point injection. She is presently not working.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Bilateral sacroiliac joint injection times one: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG).

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation ODG Sacroiliac injections.

Decision rationale: MTUS guidelines are silent regarding sacroiliac injections. According to ODG guidelines, sacroiliac injections are medically necessary if the patient fulfills the following criteria: 1. the history and physical examination should suggest the diagnosis; 2. Other pain generators should be excluded; 3. Documentation of failure of 4-6 weeks aggressive therapies; 4. Blocks are performed under fluoroscopy; 5. Documentation of 80% pain relief for a diagnostic block; 6. If steroids are injected during the initial injection, the duration of relief should be at least 6 weeks; 7. In the therapeutic phase, the interval between 2 block is at least 2 months; 8. The block is not performed at the same day as an epidural injection; 9. The therapeutic procedure should be repeated as needed with no more than 4 procedures per year. It is not clear from the patient file, that the patient fulfills the criteria of sacroiliac damage, that the sacroiliac joint is the pain generator and other pain generator have been excluded. Therefore, the requested for Bilateral sacroiliac joint injection times one is not medically necessary.

Bilateral gluteal myofascial trigger point injection times one: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Trigger point injections Page(s): 122.

Decision rationale: According to MTUS guidelines, trigger point injection is "recommended only for myofascial pain syndrome as indicated below, with limited lasting value. Not recommended for radicular pain. Trigger point injections with an anesthetic such as bupivacaine are recommended for non-resolving trigger points, but the addition of a corticosteroid is not generally recommended. Not recommended for radicular pain. A trigger point is a discrete focal tenderness located in a palpable taut band of skeletal muscle, which produces a local twitch in response to stimulus to the band. Trigger points may be present in up to 33-50% of the adult population. Myofascial pain syndrome is a regional painful muscle condition with a direct relationship between a specific trigger point and its associated pain region. These injections may occasionally be necessary to maintain function in those with myofascial problems when myofascial trigger points are present on examination. Not recommended for typical back pain or neck pain. (Graff-Radford, 2004) (Nelemans-Cochrane, 2002) For fibromyalgia syndrome, trigger point injections have not been proven effective." (Goldenberg, 2004) "Trigger point injections with a local anesthetic may be recommended for the treatment of chronic low back or neck pain with myofascial pain syndrome when all of the following criteria are met: (1) Documentation of circumscribed trigger points with evidence upon palpation of a twitch response as well as referred pain; (2) Symptoms have persisted for more than three months; (3) Medical management therapies such as ongoing stretching exercises, physical therapy, NSAIDs and muscle relaxants have failed to control pain; (4) Radiculopathy is not present (by exam, imaging, or neuro-testing); (5) Not more than 3-4 injections per session; (6) No repeat injections unless a greater than 50% pain relief is obtained for six weeks after an injection and there is documented evidence of functional improvement; (7) Frequency should not be at an interval less than two months; (8) Trigger point injections with any substance (e.g., saline or glucose)

other than local anesthetic with or without steroid are not recommended." There is no clear evidence of myofascial pain and trigger points over the gluteal area. There is no documentation of failure of oral medications or physical therapy in this case. Therefore, the request for 1 bilateral gluteal myofascial trigger point injection times one is not medically necessary.