

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM15-0122928		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	07/07/2015	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	07/05/2011
<b>Decision Date:</b>	07/31/2015	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	05/26/2015
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	06/25/2015

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 53 year old male, who sustained an industrial injury on 7/5/11. He reported low back pain. The injured worker was diagnosed as having a 5mm disc herniation at L4-5, facet arthropathy at L5-S1, chronic low back and right lower extremity pain, neck and upper extremity pain, insomnia due to pain, right medial neuropathy with mild right carpal tunnel syndrome, and a history of inconsistent urine drug screen. Treatment to date has included medication including Norco and Mobic. The injured worker had been taking Norco since at least 4/14/15. Currently, the injured worker complains of low back pain. The treating physician requested authorization for Norco 10/325mg #60.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**Norco 10/325mg #60:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids, page(s) 74-96.

**Decision rationale:** Per the MTUS Guidelines cited, opioid use in the setting of chronic, non-malignant, or neuropathic pain is controversial. Patients on opioids should be routinely monitored for signs of impairment and use of opioids in patients with chronic pain should be reserved for those with improved functional outcomes attributable to their use, in the context of an overall approach to pain management that also includes non-opioid analgesics, adjuvant therapies, psychological support, and active treatments (e.g., exercise). Submitted documents show no evidence that the treating physician is prescribing opioids in accordance to change in pain relief, functional goals with demonstrated improvement in daily activities or decreased in medical utilization. There is no evidence of utilization of pain contract to adequately monitor for narcotic safety, efficacy, and compliance as the patient had inconsistent drug screening; however, no adjustment was made by the provider regarding the aberrant drug behavior. The MTUS provides requirements of the treating physician to assess and document for functional improvement with treatment intervention and maintenance of function that would otherwise deteriorate if not supported. From the submitted reports, there is no demonstrated evidence of specific functional benefit derived from the continuing use of opioids with persistent severe pain. The Norco 10/325mg #60 is not medically necessary and appropriate.