

Case Number:	CM15-0122560		
Date Assigned:	07/02/2015	Date of Injury:	08/09/2011
Decision Date:	07/31/2015	UR Denial Date:	06/15/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	06/23/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: North Carolina

Certification(s)/Specialty: Family Practice

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 23-year-old male who sustained an industrial injury on 8/9/2011 resulting in chronic migraine headaches and dizziness. He was diagnosed with post-concussion syndrome, and post traumatic migraine headaches. Treatment has included medication, acupuncture with report of minimal improvement, cognitive behavioral therapy which he reports as being helpful with pain management, Botox injections which he stated had improved intensity and frequency of headache symptoms, as well as a decrease in pain medication usage. The injured worker reports frequency and intensity of headaches resuming to previous level. The treating physician's plan of care includes Botox injections into the scalp and cervical paraspinal muscles. He is not working as of last related documentation in 2/24/2015 note.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Botox Injections (155 units) into scalp and cervical paraspinal muscles: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation ODG Head Chapter.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines botox
Page(s): 26.

Decision rationale: The California chronic pain medical treatment guidelines section on botulism toxin states: Not generally recommended for chronic pain disorders, but recommended for cervical dystonia. Not recommended for the following: tension-type headache; migraine headache; fibromyositis; chronic neck pain; myofascial pain syndrome; & trigger point injections. Several recent studies have found no statistical support for the use of Botulinum toxin A (BTXA) for any of the following: The evidence is mixed for migraine headaches. This RCT found that both botulinum toxin type A (BoNTA) and divalproex sodium (DVPX) significantly reduced disability associated with migraine, and BoNTA had a favorable tolerability profile compared with DVPX. (Blumenfeld, 2008) In this RCT of episodic migraine patients, low-dose injections of BoNTA into the frontal, temporal, and/or glabellar muscle regions were not more effective than placebo. (Saper, 2007) Botulinum neurotoxin is probably ineffective in episodic migraine and chronic tension-type headache (Level B). (Naumann, 2008) Myofascial analgesic pain relief as compared to saline. (Qerama, 2006) Use as a specific treatment for myofascial cervical pain as compared to saline. (Ojala, 2006) (Ferrante, 2005) (Wheeler, 1998) Injection in myofascial trigger points as compared to dry needling or local anesthetic injections. (Kamanli, 2005) (Graboski, 2005). Recent systematic reviews have stated that current evidence does not support the use of BTX-A trigger point injections for myofascial pain. (Ho, 2006) Or for mechanical neck disease (as compared to saline). (Peloso-Cochrane, 2006) A recent study that has found statistical improvement with the use of BTX-A compared to saline. Study patients had at least 10 trigger points and no patient in the study was allowed to take an opioid in the 4 weeks prior to treatment. (Gobel, 2006) Recommended: cervical dystonia, a condition that is not generally related to workers' compensation injuries (also known as spasmodic torticollis), and is characterized as a movement disorder of the nuchal muscles, characterized by tremor or by tonic posturing of the head in a rotated, twisted, or abnormally flexed or extended position or some combination of these positions. When treated with BTX-B, high antigenicity limits long-term efficacy. Botulinum toxin A injections provide more objective and subjective benefit than trihexyphenidyl or other anticholinergic drugs to patients with cervical dystonia. Recommended: chronic low back pain, if a favorable initial response predicts subsequent responsiveness, as an option in conjunction with a functional restoration program. Some additional new data suggests that it may be effective for low back pain. (Jabbari, 2006) (Ney, 2006) Botulinum neurotoxin may be considered for low back pain (Level C). (Naumann, 2008) The requested medication is usually only indicated in the treatment of cervical dystonia. Per the California MTUS it does not have the indication in the treatment of other diagnosis. The patient does not have this diagnosis. Therefore the request is not medically necessary.