

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM15-0122403		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	07/06/2015	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	10/01/2014
<b>Decision Date:</b>	09/23/2015	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	06/04/2015
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	06/24/2015

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:  
State(s) of Licensure: California  
Certification(s)/Specialty: Orthopedic Surgery

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This 41-year-old woman sustained an industrial injury on 10/1/2014. The mechanism of injury is not detailed. Diagnoses include left shoulder impingement syndrome with supraspinatus tendinitis and biceps tendinitis, bilateral ulnar nerve neuritis, musculoligamentous strain of the cervical spine with radiculitis, right shoulder sprain/strain, and musculoligamentous strain of the lumbar spine with radiculitis. Treatment has included oral medications and left shoulder injection. Physician notes dated 5/18/2015 show complaints of neck and bilateral shoulder pain. Recommendations include left shoulder surgical intervention, electromyogram/nerve conduction studies of the bilateral upper extremities, cervical spine MRI, and follow up in three weeks.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**Left Shoulder Arthroscopic Examination, Subacromial Decompression: Upheld**

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 9 Shoulder Complaints Page(s): 211.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 9 Shoulder Complaints Page(s): 209-210. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Shoulder Chapter, Acromioplasty Surgery.

**Decision rationale:** According to the CA MTUS/ACOEM Shoulder Chapter, page 209-210, surgical considerations for the shoulder include failure of four months of activity modification and existence of a surgical lesion. The ODG shoulder section, acromioplasty surgery recommends 3-6 months of conservative care plus a painful arc of motion from 90-130 degrees that is not present in the submitted clinical information from 5/18/15. In addition night pain and weak or absent abduction must be present. There must be tenderness over the rotator cuff or anterior acromial area and positive impingement signs with temporary relief from anesthetic injection. In this case, the exam note from 5/18/15 does not demonstrate evidence satisfying the above criteria. Therefore, the request is not medically necessary.

**Post operative Physical Therapy, Left Shoulder, 12 sessions:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**Decision rationale:** Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

**Pre operative Medical Clearance:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**Decision rationale:** Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

**Sling DME (durable medical equipment), Purchase:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**Decision rationale:** Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

**Cold Therapy, DME (durable medical equipment), Rental 10 days:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**Decision rationale:** Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

**Coastline Surgery Center, outpatient:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**Decision rationale:** Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.