

Case Number:	CM15-0120717		
Date Assigned:	07/01/2015	Date of Injury:	01/19/2006
Decision Date:	08/07/2015	UR Denial Date:	05/29/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	06/22/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
State(s) of Licensure: California, Arizona,
Maryland Certification(s)/Specialty: Psychiatry

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 73 year old male, who sustained an industrial injury on 1/19/2006. Diagnoses include major depressive disorder moderate, insomnia due to pain, male hypoactive sexual desire disorder due to pain and psychological factors affecting medical condition. Treatment to date has included surgical intervention (cervical spine 2/2006 and left carpal tunnel release 9/2008), psychological care and medications. Per the Primary Treating Physician's Progress Report dated 5/01/2015, the injured worker reported pain and trouble sleeping. Depressive symptoms persist. Objective findings document that the injured worker has been taking medications for years and he is able to execute activities of daily living with the prescribed medications. The plan of care included medications and continuation of care. Authorization was requested for six additional monthly psychotropic and medication management sessions.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Monthly psychotropic and medication management one session per month for six months:
Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 15 Stress Related Conditions Page(s): 398. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG): Mental Illness & Stress Chapter (Online Version) Office Visits.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Chapter: Mental Illness & Stress Topic: Office visits.

Decision rationale: ODG states "Office visits are recommended as determined to be medically necessary. The need for clinical office visit with a health care provider is individualized based upon the review of patient concerns, signs, symptoms, clinical stability and reasonable physician judgment. The determination is also based on what medications the patient is taking, since some medications such as opiates, or medicines such as certain antibiotics, require close monitoring. As patient conditions are extremely varied, a set number of office visits per condition cannot be reasonably established. The determination of necessity for an office visit requires individualized case review and assessment, being ever mindful that the best patient outcomes are achieved with eventual patient independence from health care system through self care as soon as clinically feasible" The injured worker has been diagnosed with major depressive disorder moderate, insomnia due to pain, male hypoactive sexual desire disorder due to pain and psychological factors affecting medical condition. He has been prescribed Wellbutrin, Restoril and Atarax which have been non-certified because of lack of objective functional improvement and also since medications such as Restoril are not indicated for long term use. The request for Monthly psychotropic and medication management one session per month for six months is excessive and not medically necessary. It is to be noted that the UR physician authorized one office visit.