

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM15-0119282		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	07/02/2015	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	10/30/2006
<b>Decision Date:</b>	09/11/2015	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	05/19/2015
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	06/19/2015

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:  
 State(s) of Licensure: California, Indiana, Oregon  
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Orthopedic Surgery

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 58 year old female who sustained an industrial injury on 10/30/06. Primary treating physician's progress report dated 3/10/15 reports continued complaints of bilateral knee pain. Diagnoses include bilateral patellofemoral syndrome, osteoarthritis and dyspepsia. Plan of care includes: continue Protonix and ibuprofen and refer to orthopedic surgeon. Work status: return to modified work. Return for follow up.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**Right knee arthroscopy, meniscectomy, synovectomy and debridement:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 13 Knee Complaints.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) knee.

**Decision rationale:** CAMTUS/ACOEM Chapter 13 Knee Complaints, pages 344-345, states regarding meniscus tears, Arthroscopic partial meniscectomy usually has a high success rate for

cases in which there is clear evidence of a meniscus tear symptoms other than simply pain (locking, popping, giving way, recurrent effusion); clear signs of a bucket handle tear on examination (tenderness over the suspected tear but not over the entire joint line, and perhaps lack of full passive flexion); and consistent findings on MRI. The ACOEM guidelines state that, arthroscopy and meniscus surgery may not be equally beneficial for those patients who are exhibiting signs of degenerative changes. According to ODG, Knee and Leg Chapter, Arthroscopic Surgery for osteoarthritis, not recommended. Arthroscopic lavage and debridement in patients with osteoarthritis of the knee is no better than placebo surgery, and arthroscopic surgery provides no additional benefit compared to optimized physical and medical therapy. In this case the MRI demonstrates osteoarthritis of the knee without clear evidence of meniscus tear. As the patient has significant osteoarthritis the request is not medically necessary.

**Associated surgical service: Assistant surgeon: Upheld**

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**Decision rationale:** Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

**Post-op physical therapy 2 x 8 for right knee: Upheld**

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**Decision rationale:** Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

**Keflex 500mg #4: Upheld**

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines, Infectious Diseases.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Stulberg DL, Penrod MA, Blatny RA. Common bacterial skin infections. Am Fam Physician. 2002 Jul 1; 66 (1):119-24.

**Decision rationale:** CA MTUS/ACOEM and ODG are silent on the issue of Keflex and alternative guideline was utilized. According to the American Family Physician Journal, 2002 July 1; 66 (1): 119-125, titled "Common Bacterial Skin Infections"; Keflex is often the drug of choice for skin wounds and skin infections. It was found from a review of the medical record

submitted of no evidence of a wound infection to warrant antibiotic prophylaxis. The request for Keflex is therefore not medically necessary and appropriate.

**Zofran 4mg #10:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines, Pain.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) pain.

**Decision rationale:** CA MTUS/ACOEM is silent on the issue of Zofran for postoperative use. According to the ODG, Pain Chapter, Ondansetron (Zofran) is not recommended for nausea and vomiting secondary to chronic opioid use. In this case the submitted records demonstrate no evidence of nausea and vomiting or increased risk for postoperative issues. Therefore determination is not medically necessary.

**Ibuprofen 600mg #90:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines NSAID Page(s): 66.

**Decision rationale:** Per the CA MTUS/Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines, page 66 states that Motrin is a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID) for the relief of the signs and symptoms of osteoarthritis. It is used as first line treatment but long-term use is not warranted. In this case the continued use of Motrin is not warranted, as there is no demonstration of functional improvement and the injury is no longer acute. Therefore the request is not medically necessary.

**Colace 100mg #10:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines, Pain.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) pain.

**Decision rationale:** CA MTUS/ACOEM is silent on the issue of stool softeners. According to the ODG Pain section, opioid induced constipation treatment, “if prescribing opioids has been determined to be appropriate, then ODG recommends, under Initiating Therapy, that Prophylactic treatment of constipation should be initiated.” In this case the constipating medications are not medically necessary, so the stool softener is not medically necessary.

**Norco 7.5/325mg #50:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines opioids Page(s): 80.

**Decision rationale:** According to the CA MTUS/Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines, page 80, opioids should be continued if the patient has returned to work and the patient has improved functioning and pain. Based upon the records reviewed there is insufficient evidence to support chronic use of narcotics. In this case, there is lack of demonstrated functional improvement, percentage of relief, demonstration of urine toxicology compliance or increase in activity due to medications. Therefore the request is not medically necessary.

**Vitamin C 500mg #60:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) wrist.

**Decision rationale:** CAMTUS/ACOEM is silent on the issue of vitamin c supplementation. Per ODG wrist, vitamin C is recommended after wrist fracture to lower the risk of RSD. In this case the use scenario is outside of guidelines, therefore the request is not medically necessary.