

Case Number:	CM15-0118700		
Date Assigned:	06/29/2015	Date of Injury:	07/08/1995
Decision Date:	07/28/2015	UR Denial Date:	06/10/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	06/19/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Preventive Medicine, Occupational Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 50 year old female, who sustained an industrial injury on 7/8/95. She reported injury to the left index finger extensor tendon while using a knife. The injured worker was diagnosed as having left index finger repair and tenosynovitis of index flexor tendon. Treatment to date has included left carpal tunnel release surgery, Etodolac and a right index finger trigger injection. As of the PR2 dated 6/8/15, the injured worker reports pain and stiffness in her left hand fingers. Objective findings include a nodule along the flexor tendon of the left index finger and discomfort at the PIP joint. The treating physician requested a left hand trigger point injection.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Left Hand, Trigger Point Injection, Qty 1: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Trigger Point Injections.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Trigger Point Injections Section Page(s): 122.

Decision rationale: The MTUS Guidelines recommend the use of trigger point injections for myofascial pain syndrome as indicated, with limited lasting value. It is not recommended for radicular pain. Trigger point injections with an anesthetic such as bupivacaine are recommended for non-resolving trigger points, but the addition of a corticosteroid is not generally recommended. A trigger point is a discrete focal tenderness located in a palpable taut band of skeletal muscle, which produces a local twitch in response to stimulus to the band. Trigger points may be present in up to 33-50% of the adult population. Myofascial pain syndrome is a regional painful muscle condition with a direct relationship between a specific trigger point and its associated pain region. These injections may occasionally be necessary to maintain function in those with myofascial problems when myofascial trigger points are present on examination. Trigger point injections are not recommended for typical back pain or neck pain. For fibromyalgia syndrome, trigger point injections have not been proven effective. Trigger point injections with a local anesthetic may be recommended for the treatment of chronic low back or neck pain with myofascial pain syndrome when all of the following criteria are met: (1) Documentation of circumscribed trigger points with evidence upon palpation of a twitch response as well as referred pain; (2) Symptoms have persisted for more than three months; (3) Medical management therapies such as ongoing stretching exercises, physical therapy, NSAIDs and muscle relaxants have failed to control pain; (4) Radiculopathy is not present (by exam, imaging, or neuro-testing); (5) Not more than 3-4 injections per session; (6) No repeat injections unless a greater than 50% pain relief is obtained for six weeks after an injection and there is documented evidence of functional improvement; (7) Frequency should not be at an interval less than two months; (8) Trigger point injections with any substance (e.g., saline or glucose) other than local anesthetic with or without steroid are not recommended. In this case, the injured worker is taking NSAIDs which are stated to help significantly with pain. She has been approved 5 refills of the NSAIDs, therefore, the request for left hand, trigger point injection, Qty 1 is determined to not be medically necessary.