

Case Number:	CM15-0117270		
Date Assigned:	06/25/2015	Date of Injury:	06/30/2002
Decision Date:	07/24/2015	UR Denial Date:	05/29/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	06/17/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: California
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 50 year old male, who sustained an industrial injury on 6/30/2002. The injured worker was diagnosed as having failed back surgery syndrome, improved lumbar radiculopathy, lumbar disc disease, and lumbar facet arthropathy. Treatment to date has included diagnostics, lumbar spinal surgery in 2003, and medications. Currently, the injured worker complains of low back pain, radiating to the left lower extremity. Pain was rated 8-9/10 and exacerbated by any increased activity, prolonged sitting, standing, or bending. A request for lumbar facet diagnostic block was documented as denied. Physical exam of the lumbar spine noted decreased range of motion, pain on the spinous processes of L4-5 and L5-S1, pain on palpation of the lumbar facets of L3-S1 (2+ on left and 1+ on right), positive facet loading (more on left), persistent muscle spasm from L2-5, positive Fabere's on the left, and 5/5 motor strength. It was documented that the recent denial previously referenced was due to lack of documentation of any recent participation in active therapy for the lumbar spine. It was also noted that the previous request for physical therapy was denied. The treatment plan included physical therapy (2x4) for the lumbar spine. His most recent work status documentation (3/30/2015) noting permanent partial disability.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Physical therapy 2 x wk x 4 wks lumbar spine: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Physical Medicine Page(s): 98-99.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Physical Therapy, pages 98-99.

Decision rationale: Physical therapy is considered medically necessary when the services require the judgment, knowledge, and skills of a qualified physical therapist due to the complexity and sophistication of the therapy and the physical condition of the patient. However, there is no clear measurable evidence of progress with the PT treatment already rendered including milestones of increased ROM, strength, and functional capacity. Review of submitted physician reports show no evidence of functional benefit, unchanged chronic symptom complaints, clinical findings, and functional status. There is no evidence documenting functional baseline with clear goals to be reached and the patient striving to reach those goals. The Chronic Pain Guidelines allow for visits of physical therapy with fading of treatment to an independent self-directed home program. It appears the employee has received significant therapy sessions without demonstrated evidence of functional improvement to allow for additional therapy treatments. There is no report of acute flare-up, new injuries, or change in symptom or clinical findings to support for formal PT in a patient that has been instructed on a home exercise program for this chronic injury. Submitted reports have not adequately demonstrated the indication to support further physical therapy when prior treatment rendered has not resulted in any functional benefit. The Physical therapy 2 x wk x 4 wks lumbar spine is not medically necessary and appropriate.