

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM15-0116589		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	06/25/2015	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	02/06/2015
<b>Decision Date:</b>	09/21/2015	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	05/13/2015
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	06/17/2015

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Maryland, Texas, Virginia

Certification(s)/Specialty: Internal Medicine, Allergy and Immunology, Rheumatology

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 51-year-old male who sustained an industrial injury on 2/6/2015 resulting in an open wound to the left hand. The injured worker was diagnosed with left hand laceration and chronic left hand pain. There is no report of reduced functionality. Treatment has included pain medication, which has provided pain relief. He continues to report constant pain, with numbness and tingling radiating up his arm to the shoulder. The treating physician's plan of care includes electromyography and a nerve conduction study to the injured worker's bilateral upper extremities. He is presently working.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**EMG for the left upper extremity:** Overturned

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 11 Forearm, Wrist, and Hand Complaints.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 11 Forearm, Wrist, and Hand Complaints Page(s): 260-262. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Pain, Electrodiagnostic testing (EMG/NCS).

**Decision rationale:** ACOEM States "Appropriate electrodiagnostic studies (EDS) may help differentiate between CTS and other conditions, such as cervical radiculopathy. These may include nerve conduction studies (NCS), or in more difficult cases, electromyography (EMG) may be helpful." ODG states "Recommended needle EMG or NCS, depending on indications. Surface EMG is not recommended. Electromyography (EMG) and Nerve Conduction Studies (NCS) are generally accepted, well-established and widely used for localizing the source of the neurological symptoms and establishing the diagnosis of focal nerve entrapments, such as carpal tunnel syndrome or radiculopathy, which may contribute to or coexist with CRPS II (causalgia), when testing is performed by appropriately trained neurologists or physical medicine and rehabilitation physicians (improperly performed testing by other providers often gives inconclusive results). As CRPS II occurs after partial injury to a nerve, the diagnosis of the initial nerve injury can be made by electrodiagnostic studies". ODG further clarifies "NCS is not recommended, but EMG is recommended as an option (needle, not surface) to obtain unequivocal evidence of radiculopathy, after 1-month conservative therapy, but EMG's are not necessary if radiculopathy is already clinically obvious." The treating physician does document evidence of radiculopathy, muscle atrophy, and abnormal neurologic findings. The treating physician does document a negative Tinel's sign. The treating physician has not met the above ACOEM and ODG criteria EMG for the left upper extremity and as such, the request is medically necessary.

**NCV for the left upper extremity:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 11 Forearm, Wrist, and Hand Complaints.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 11 Forearm, Wrist, and Hand Complaints Page(s): 260-262. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Pain, Electrodiagnostic testing (EMG/NCS).

**Decision rationale:** ACOEM States "Appropriate electrodiagnostic studies (EDS) may help differentiate between CTS and other conditions, such as cervical radiculopathy. These may include nerve conduction studies (NCS), or in more difficult cases, electromyography (EMG) may be helpful." ODG states "Recommended needle EMG or NCS, depending on indications. Surface EMG is not recommended. Electromyography (EMG) and Nerve Conduction Studies (NCS) are generally accepted, well-established and widely used for localizing the source of the neurological symptoms and establishing the diagnosis of focal nerve entrapments, such as carpal tunnel syndrome or radiculopathy, which may contribute to or coexist with CRPS II (causalgia), when testing is performed by appropriately trained neurologists or physical medicine and rehabilitation physicians (improperly performed testing by other providers often gives inconclusive results). As CRPS II occurs after partial injury to a nerve, the diagnosis of the initial nerve injury can be made by electrodiagnostic studies". ODG further clarifies "NCS is not recommended, but EMG is recommended as an option (needle, not surface) to obtain unequivocal evidence of radiculopathy, after 1-month conservative therapy, but EMG's are not necessary if radiculopathy is already clinically obvious." The treating physician does document evidence of radiculopathy, muscle atrophy, and abnormal neurologic findings. The history does seem consistent with possible CTS and an EMG seems reasonable. The treating physician has not met the above ACOEM and ODG criteria NCV for the left upper extremity and as such, the request is not medically necessary.

**NCV for the right upper extremity: Upheld**

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 11 Forearm, Wrist, and Hand Complaints.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 11 Forearm, Wrist, and Hand Complaints Page(s): 260-262. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Pain, Electrodiagnostic testing (EMG/NCS).

**Decision rationale:** ACOEM States "Appropriate electrodiagnostic studies (EDS) may help differentiate between CTS and other conditions, such as cervical radiculopathy. These may include nerve conduction studies (NCS), or in more difficult cases, electromyography (EMG) may be helpful." ODG states "Recommended needle EMG or NCS, depending on indications. Surface EMG is not recommended. Electromyography (EMG) and Nerve Conduction Studies (NCS) are generally accepted, well-established and widely used for localizing the source of the neurological symptoms and establishing the diagnosis of focal nerve entrapments, such as carpal tunnel syndrome or radiculopathy, which may contribute to or coexist with CRPS II (causalgia), when testing is performed by appropriately trained neurologists or physical medicine and rehabilitation physicians (improperly performed testing by other providers often gives inconclusive results). As CRPS II occurs after partial injury to a nerve, the diagnosis of the initial nerve injury can be made by electrodiagnostic studies". ODG further clarifies "NCS is not recommended, but EMG is recommended as an option (needle, not surface) to obtain unequivocal evidence of radiculopathy, after 1-month conservative therapy, but EMG's are not necessary if radiculopathy is already clinically obvious." The treating physician does not document evidence of radiculopathy, muscle atrophy, and abnormal neurologic findings. The guidelines do not recommend NCS. The treating physician has not met the above ACOEM and ODG criteria NCV for the right upper extremity and as such, the request is not medically necessary.

**EMG of the right upper extremity: Upheld**

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 11 Forearm, Wrist, and Hand Complaints.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 11 Forearm, Wrist, and Hand Complaints Page(s): 260-262. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Pain, Electrodiagnostic testing (EMG/NCS).

**Decision rationale:** ACOEM States "Appropriate electrodiagnostic studies (EDS) may help differentiate between CTS and other conditions, such as cervical radiculopathy. These may include nerve conduction studies (NCS), or in more difficult cases, electromyography (EMG) may be helpful." ODG states "Recommended needle EMG or NCS, depending on indications. Surface EMG is not recommended. Electromyography (EMG) and Nerve Conduction Studies (NCS) are generally accepted, well-established and widely used for localizing the source of the neurological symptoms and establishing the diagnosis of focal nerve entrapments, such as carpal tunnel syndrome or radiculopathy, which may contribute to or coexist with CRPS II (causalgia), when testing is performed by appropriately trained neurologists or physical medicine and rehabilitation physicians (improperly performed testing by other providers often gives inconclusive results). As CRPS II occurs after partial injury to a nerve, the diagnosis of the initial nerve injury can be made by electrodiagnostic studies". ODG further clarifies "NCS is not recommended, but EMG is recommended as an option (needle, not surface) to obtain

unequivocal evidence of radiculopathy, after 1-month conservative therapy, but EMG's are not necessary if radiculopathy is already clinically obvious." The treating physician does not document evidence of radiculopathy, muscle atrophy, and abnormal neurologic findings. The treating physician has not met the above ACOEM and ODG criteria EMG for the right upper extremity and as such, the request is not medically necessary.

**Physical Therapy 2 times a week for 3 weeks for the left upper extremity/hand:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 11 Forearm, Wrist, and Hand Complaints.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Physical Therapy, Physical medicine Page(s): 98-99. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Hand (Acute & Chronic), Physical Therapy, ODG Preface - Physical Therapy.

**Decision rationale:** California MTUS guidelines refer to physical medicine guidelines for physical therapy. "Allow for fading of treatment frequency (from up to 3 visits per week to 1 or less), plus active self-directed home Physical Medicine." ODG states "Carpal tunnel syndrome (ICD9 354. 0): Medical treatment: 1-3 visits over 3-5 weeks; Post-surgical treatment (endoscopic): 3-8 visits over 3-5 weeks; Post-surgical treatment (open): 3-8 visits over 3-5 weeks". ODG Physical/Occupational Therapy Guidelines -Allow for fading of treatment frequency (from up to 3 visits or more per week to 1 or less), plus active self-directed home PT. More visits may be necessary when grip strength is a problem, even if range of motion is improved. Also, see other general guidelines that apply to all conditions under Physical Therapy in the ODG Preface. In this case, the member has already been prescribed physical therapy and it is unclear the number of completed sessions and the result of such sessions. As such, the request for physical therapy 2 times a week for 3 weeks for the left upper extremity/hand is not medically necessary.