

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM15-0115594		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	06/23/2015	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	02/19/2012
<b>Decision Date:</b>	07/23/2015	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	06/04/2015
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	06/15/2015

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:  
 State(s) of Licensure: California  
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Emergency Medicine

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 72 year old male, who sustained an industrial injury on 2/19/2012. He reported pain to the pelvic region, the groin and the umbilical area. Diagnoses have included lumbar spondylosis without myelopathy, hip/thigh sprain/strain and bilateral inguinal hernia. Treatment to date has included activity modification, physical therapy and medication. According to the progress report dated 5/18/2015, the injured worker complained of bilateral hip pain. He used a cane for ambulation. He also complained of back pain. He reported that a lumbar support was helping and allowed partial pain relief. Physical exam revealed a mildly antalgic gait. Authorization was requested for magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the lumbar spine.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

#### **1 MRI of the lumbar spine without contrast: Upheld**

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints Page(s): 303-305.

**Decision rationale:** The requested 1 MRI of the lumbar spine without contrast, is not medically necessary. CA MTUS, ACOEM 2nd Edition, 2004, Chapter 12, Lower Back Complaints, Special Studies and Diagnostic and Therapeutic Considerations, Pages 303-305, recommend imaging studies of the lumbar spine with "Unequivocal objective findings that identify specific nerve compromise on the neurological examination are sufficient evidence to warrant imaging in patients who do not respond to treatment and who would consider surgery an option." The injured worker has bilateral hip pain. He used a cane for ambulation. He also complained of back pain. He reported that a lumbar support was helping and allowed partial pain relief. Physical exam revealed a mildly antalgic gait. The treating physician has not documented a positive straight leg raising test, nor deficits in dermatomal sensation, reflexes or muscle strength. The criteria noted above not having been met, 1 MRI of the lumbar spine without contrast is not medically necessary.