

Case Number:	CM15-0113830		
Date Assigned:	06/22/2015	Date of Injury:	07/29/2009
Decision Date:	07/20/2015	UR Denial Date:	05/29/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	06/12/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Iowa, Illinois, Hawaii

Certification(s)/Specialty: Preventive Medicine, Occupational Medicine, Public Health & General Preventive Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 53 year old male with an industrial injury dated 07/29/2009. The injured worker's diagnoses include chronic lumbosacral sprain/strain superimposed on lumbar spondylosis, right knee arthritis with medial and patellofemoral arthritis, left shoulder adhesive capsulitis with internal derangement, and cervical spine sprain/strain with referred pain, left trapezius muscle mass. Treatment consisted of diagnostic studies, prescribed medications, and periodic follow up visits. In a progress note dated 05/08/2015, the injured worker reported persistent neck pain with referred pain of the left shoulder. The injured worker also reported continued myalgias and arthralgias in the body. Objective findings revealed tenderness to palpitation of the cervical spine with referred pain of left trapezius muscle mass. The treating physician prescribed services for Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) of the cervical spine now under review.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

MRI of the cervical spine: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 8 Neck and Upper Back Complaints Page(s): 177-179.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 8 Neck and Upper Back Complaints Page(s): 177,182. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Neck and Upper Back, Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI).

Decision rationale: ACOEM states "Criteria for ordering imaging studies are: Emergence of a red flag, Physiologic evidence of tissue insult or neurologic dysfunction, Failure to progress in a strengthening program intended to avoid surgery and Clarification of the anatomy prior to an invasive procedure". ODG states, 'Not recommended except for indications list below. Patients who are alert, have never lost consciousness, are not under the influence of alcohol and/or drugs, have no distracting injuries, have no cervical tenderness, and have no neurologic findings, do not need imaging". Indications for imaging, MRI (magnetic resonance imaging): Chronic neck pain (after 3 months conservative treatment), radiographs normal, neurologic signs or symptoms present; Neck pain with radiculopathy if severe or progressive neurologic deficit; Chronic neck pain, radiographs show spondylosis, neurologic signs or symptoms present; Chronic neck pain, radiographs show old trauma, neurologic signs or symptoms present; Chronic neck pain, radiographs show bone or disc margin destruction; Suspected cervical spine trauma, neck pain, clinical findings suggest ligamentous injury (sprain), radiographs and/or CT "normal"; Known cervical spine trauma: equivocal or positive plain films with neurological deficit; Upper back/thoracic spine trauma with neurological deficit". The treating physician has not provided evidence of red flags to meet the criteria above. As such the request for MRI of the cervical spine is not medically necessary.