

Case Number:	CM15-0113272		
Date Assigned:	06/19/2015	Date of Injury:	02/22/2005
Decision Date:	07/21/2015	UR Denial Date:	05/20/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	06/11/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation, Pain Management

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This 46 year old man sustained an industrial injury on 2/22/2005. The mechanism of injury is not detailed. Diagnoses include status post lumbar spine surgery with residual bilateral lower extremity radiculitis and ailed back surgery syndrome. Treatment has included oral medications. Physician notes on a PR-2 dated 5/55/2015 show complaints of constant low back pain rated 9-10/10 with numbness and weakness. Recommendations include urology consultation, MS Contin, and Prilosec.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Prilosec 20mg quantity 30: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Proton Pump Inhibitors.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines PPI

Page(s): 68-69.

Decision rationale: In this request, there is controversy over whether a PPI is warranted in this worker's treatment regimen. The Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines on page 68-69 states the following regarding the usage of proton pump inhibitors (PPI): "Clinicians should weight the indications for NSAIDs against both GI and cardiovascular risk factors. Determine if the patient is at risk for gastrointestinal events: (1) age > 65 years; (2) history of peptic ulcer, GI bleeding or perforation; (3) concurrent use of ASA, corticosteroids, and/or an anticoagulant; or (4) high dose/multiple NSAID (e.g., NSAID + low-dose ASA)." In the case of this injured worker, there is no documentation of any of the risk factors above including age, history of multiple NSAID use, history of gastrointestinal ulcer or bleeding, or use of concomitant anticoagulants or corticosteroids. Given this, this request is not medically necessary.

MS Contin 15mg quantity 90: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids Page(s): 75-80.

Decision rationale: With regard to this request, the California Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines state the following about on-going management with opioids: "Four domains have been proposed as most relevant for ongoing monitoring of chronic pain patients on opioids: pain relief, side effects, physical and psychosocial functioning, and the occurrence of any potentially aberrant (or non-adherent) drug-related behaviors. These domains have been summarized as the '4 A's' (analgesia, activities of daily living, adverse side effects, and aberrant drug-taking behaviors). The monitoring of these outcomes over time should affect therapeutic decisions and provide a framework for documentation of the clinical use of these controlled drugs." Guidelines further recommend discontinuing opioids if there is no documentation of improvement in function and reduction in pain. In the progress reports available for review, the requesting provider did not adequately document monitoring of the four domains. Improvement in function was not clearly outlined. The MTUS defines this as a clinical significant improvement in activities of daily living or a reduction in work restrictions. Furthermore, there was no documentation of pain reduction with the use of MS Contin in the note associated with this request (PR-2 form dated 5/15/15). Given this, this request is not medically necessary. Although this opioid is not medically necessary at this time, it should not be abruptly halted, and the requesting provider should start a weaning schedule as he or she sees fit or supply the requisite monitoring documentation to continue this medication.